arXiv:0704.3332v2 [math.GR] 7 Dec 2008

Groups of diffeomorphisms and geometric loops of manifolds over ultra-normed fields.

S.V. Ludkovsky.

19 December 2007

Abstract

The article is devoted to the investigation of groups of diffeomorphisms and loops of manifolds over ultra-metric fields of zero and positive characteristics. Different types of topologies are considered on groups of loops and diffeomorphisms relative to which they are generalized Lie groups or topological groups. Among such topologies pairwise incomparable are found as well. Topological perfectness of the diffeomorphism group relative to certain topologies is studied. There are proved theorems about projective limit decompositions of these groups and their compactifications for compact manifolds. Moreover, an existence of one-parameter local subgroups of diffeomorphism groups is investigated.

1 Introduction.

Non-archimedean analysis has rather long history, but it is much less developed in comparison with the classical analysis over the fields $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{C}$. Therefore, the theory of groups on manifolds over non-archimedean fields is not so well investigated as for Riemann or complex manifolds [34, 16, 12, 5].

As it is known fields with multiplicative ultra-norms such as the field of p-adic numbers were first introduced by K. Hensel [14]. Several years later on it was proved by A. Ostrowski [32] that on the field of rational numbers each multiplicative norm is either the usual norm as in $\mathbf{R}$ or is equivalent to a non archimedean norm $|x| = p^{-k}$, where $x = np^k/m \in \mathbf{Q}$, $n, m, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $p \ge 2$ is a prime number, n and m and p are mutually pairwise prime numbers. Each locally compact infinite field with a non trivial non archimedean valuation is either a finite algebraic extension of the field of p-adic numbers or is isomorphic to the field $\mathbf{F}_{p^k}(\theta)$ of power series of the variable θ with expansion coefficients in the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{p^k}$ of p^k elements, where $p \geq 2$ is a prime number, $k \in \mathbf{N}$ is a natural number [37, 42]. The valuation group $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} := \{|x| : x \in \mathbf{K}, x \neq 0\} \subset (0, \infty)$ of a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ is discrete. Non locally compact fields are wide spread as well and among them there are fields with the valuation group $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} = (0, \infty)$ [9, 37, 39]. The non-archimedean analog of the field of complex numbers is $\mathbf{C_p}$ which is complete algebraically and as the uniform space relative to its multiplicative norm and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{C_p}} = \{x \in \mathbf{Q} : x > 0\}$.

The importance of transformation groups of manifolds in the non-Archimedean functional analysis, representation theory and mathematical physics is clear and also can be found in the references given below [2, 21, 22, 24, 25, 37, 38]. This article is devoted to several aspect of such groups. One of them is on their structure from the point of view of the non-archimedean compactficiation (see also about Banaschewski compactification in [37]). Though a new topology used for compactification may be different from the initial topology of a group or even may be non-comparable, because on the same group it is possible an existence of several different topologies making it a topological group. This is useful also for studying their representations as restrictions of representations of non-archimedean compactifications, which are constructed below such that they also are groups. Apart from previous works [21, 22, 23, 24, 25], where the characteristic $char(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ was zero, in this paper groups on manifolds over fields with non-zero characteristics also are defined and investigated. Different types of topologies are considered on groups of loops and diffeomorphisms relative to which they are generalized Lie groups or topological groups. Among such topologies pairwise incomparable are found as well. It is caused by the fact that repeated application of projective and inductive limits of topological spaces generate topologies and spaces in general dependent from an order of taking limits and their types, so that such topologies may appear incomparable on a subset contained in these topological spaces. It is proved, that relative to the C^∞ bounded-open topology groups of geometric loops and groups of diffeomorphisms of manifolds over ultra-normed fields are the generalized Lie groups.

Previously one-parameter subgroups over fields of non-zero characteristics were not studied. This article contains as well results on one-parameter subgroups over $\mathbf{K}$ with $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 1$ using its multiplicative subgroup $\mathbf{K}^* := \mathbf{K} \setminus \{0\}$. It is proved below that the diffeomorphism group of a compact manifold is topologically simple relative to the C^∞ compact-open topology, that develops previous results [24], where topological simplicity and perfectness was proved over fields of zero characteristic.

At first in Section 2 we remind basic facts and notations, which are given in detail in references [37, 39, 21, 22, 23]. A loop group $L_t(M, N)$ is defined as a quotient space of a family of mappings $f : M \to N$ of class C^t of one Banach manifold M into another N over the same local field $\mathbf{K}$ such that $\lim_{z\to s}(\bar{\Phi}^m f)(z;h_1,...,h_m;\zeta_1,...,\zeta_m)=0$ or $\lim_{z\to s}(\Upsilon^m f)(z^{[m]})=0$ for each $0\le m\le t$, where M and N are embedded into the corresponding Banach spaces X and Y, $cl(M)=M\cup\{s\}$, $cl(M)$ and N are clopen in X and Y respectively, $0\in N$, $(\bar{\Phi}^m f)(z;h_1,...,h_m;\zeta_1,..,\zeta_m)$ and $(\Upsilon^m f)(z^{[m]})$ are continuous extensions of difference quotients by variables corresponding to z or by all appearing inductively variables over a non-archimedean field $\mathbf{K}$ of zero characteristic or of $char(\mathbf{K})=p>0$ respectively, $z\in M$, $h_1,...,h_m$ are nonzero vectors in X, $\zeta_1,...,\zeta_m\in\mathbf{K}$ such that $z+\zeta_1h_1+...+\zeta_mh_m\in M$, $z^{[m+1]}:=(z^{[m]},v^{[m]},\zeta_{m+1})$, $z^1=(z,v^{[0]},\zeta_1)$, $z^{[m]},v^{[m]}\in\mathbf{K}^{[m]}$, $\mathbf{K}^{[m+1]}=\mathbf{K}^{[m]}\oplus\mathbf{K}^{[m]}\oplus\mathbf{K}$, $U^{[1]}=U$, $z^{[m]}+\zeta_{m+1}v^{[m]}\in U^{[m]}$ (see also §2.1).

In Section 2 preliminary investigations on structures of $Diff^t(M)$ as the topological groups and Lie groups are studied. Non-archimedean completions of clopen subgroups W of loop groups G and diffeomorphism groups G are considered in Sections 3 and 7. Completions are considered relative to uniformities associated with projective decompositions. They produce topologies incomparable with the initial one. Relative to them they remain topological groups. In the case of the loop group the non-archimedean completion produces a new topological group V in which the initial group W is embedded as a dense subgroup such that $V\ne W$. Such topologies have purely non-archimedean origin related with non-archimedean uniformities or families of non-archimedean semi-norms on spaces of continuous or more narrow classes of functions between non-archimedean manifolds. In the classical case over $\mathbf{R}$ one might expect instead of this some repeated combination of an inductive and a projective limits, which is quite different thing.

For the compact manifold M in the case of the diffeomorphism group the non-archimedean completion of W produces profinite group. For the locally compact manifolds M and N in the case of the loop group $L_t(M,N)$ one of the non-archimedean completion of W produces its embedding into $\mathbf{Z_p}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and also there exists the completion isomorphic with $(\nu\mathbf{Z})^{\aleph_0}$, where $\nu\mathbf{Z}$ is the one-point Alexandroff compactification of $\mathbf{Z}$. When W is bounded relative to the corresponding metric in $L_t(M,N)$, then W is embedded into $\mathbf{Z_p}^{\mathbf{N}}$. Moreover, topologies of $Diff^w(M)$ and $Diff^t(M)$ or $L_t(M,N)$ and $L^w(M,N)$ are incomparable for compact manifolds M and N, where the groups $Diff^w(M)$ and $L^w(M,N)$ are supplied with the weak projective limit topologies τ_w. The group $Diff^t(M)$ is topologically simple, on the other hand, the group $L_t(M,N)$ is commutative.

An existence of one parameter subgroups of $Diff^t(M)$ is investigated in Section 5. It is proved in Section 6, that $Diff^t(M)$ is topologically simple relative to its C^t compact-open topology, as well as the theorem about continuous automorphisms of $Diff^t(M)$ is proved.

The notation given below and the corresponding definitions are given in detail in [21, 23].

All results of this paper over the fields of positive characteristics are obtained for the first time.

2 Groups of diffeomorphisms.

1. Definitions. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be an infinite field with a non trivial non archimedean valuation, let also X and Y be topological vector spaces over $\mathbf{K}$ and U be an open subset in X. For a function $f : U \to Y$ consider the associated function

$f^{[1]}(x, v, t) := [f(x + tv) - f(x)]/t$

on a set $U^{[1]}$ at first for $t \ne 0$ such that $U^{[1]} := \{(x, v, t) \in X^2 \times \mathbf{K}, x \in U, x + tv \in U\}$. If f is continuous on U and $f^{[1]}$ has a continuous extension on $U^{[1]}$, then we say, that f is continuously differentiable or belongs to the class C^1. The $\mathbf{K}$-linear space of all such continuously differentiable functions f on U is denoted $C^{[1]}(U, Y)$. By induction we define functions $f^{[n+1]} := (f^{[n]})^{[1]}$ and spaces $C^{[n+1]}(U, Y)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$, where $f^{[0]} := f$, $f^{[n+1]} \in C^{[n+1]}(U, Y)$ has as the domain $U^{[n+1]} := (U^{[n]})^{[1]}$.

The differential $df(x) : X \to Y$ is defined as $df(x)v := f^{[1]}(x, v, 0)$.

Define also partial difference quotient operators Φ^n by variables corresponding to x only such that

$\Phi^1 f(x; v; t) = f^{[1]}(x, v, t)$

at first for $t \ne 0$ and if $\Phi^1 f$ is continuous for $t \ne 0$ and has a continuous extension on $U^{[1]} =: U^{(1)}$, then we denote it by $\bar{\Phi}^1 f(x; v; t)$. Define by induction

$\Phi^{n+1} f(x; v_1, ..., v_{n+1}; t_1, ..., t_{n+1}) := \Phi^1(\Phi^n f(x; v_1, ..., v_n; t_1, ..., t_n))(x; v_{n+1}; t_{n+1})$

at first for $t_1 \ne 0, ..., t_{n+1} \ne 0$ on $U^{(n+1)} := \{(x; v_1, ..., v_{n+1}; t_1, ..., t_{n+1}) : x \in U; v_1, ..., v_{n+1} \in X; t_1, ..., t_{n+1} \in \mathbf{K}; x + v_1 t_1 \in U, ..., x + v_1 t_1 + ... + v_{n+1} t_{n+1} \in U\}$. If f is continuous on U and partial difference quotients $\Phi^1 f$,...,$\Phi^{n+1} f$ has continuous extensions denoted by $\bar{\Phi}^1 f$,..., $\bar{\Phi}^{n+1} f$ on $U^{(1)}$,...,$U^{(n+1)}$ respectively, then we say that f is of class of smoothness C^{n+1}. The $\mathbf{K}$ linear space of all C^{n+1} functions on U is denoted by $C^{n+1}(U, Y)$, where $\Phi^0 f := f$, $C^0(U, Y)$ is the space of all continuous functions $f : U \to Y$.

Then the differential is given by the equation $d^n f(x).(v_1, ..., v_n) := n!\bar{\Phi}^n f(x; v_1, ..., v_n; 0, ..., 0)$, where $n \ge 1$, also denote $D^n f = d^n f$. Shortly we shall write the argument of $f^{[n]}$ as $x^{[n]} \in U^{[n]}$ and of $\bar{\Phi}^n f$ as $x^{(n)} \in U^{(n)}$, where $x^{[0]} = x^{(0)} = x$, $x^{[1]} = x^{(1)} = (x, v, t)$, $v^{[0]} = v^{(0)} = v$, $t_1 = t$, $x^{[k]} = (x^{[k-1]}, v^{[k-1]}, t_k)$ for each $k \ge 1$, $x^{(k)} := (x; v_1, ..., v_k; t_1, ..., t_k)$.

Subspaces of uniformly C^n or $C^{[n]}$ bounded continuous functions together with $\bar{\Phi}^k f$ or $\Upsilon^k f$ on bounded open subsets of U and $U^{(k)}$ or $U^{[k]}$ for $k = 1, ..., n$ we denote by $C^n_b(U, Y)$ or $C^{[n]}_b(U, Y)$ respectively.

Consider partial difference quotients of products and compositions of functions and relations between partial difference quotients and differentiability of both types. Denote by $L(X,Y)$ the space of all continuous $\mathbf{K}$-linear mappings $A : X \to Y$. By $L_n(X^{\otimes n}, Y)$ denote the space of all continuous $\mathbf{K}$ n-linear mappings $A : X^{\otimes n} \to Y$, particularly, $L(X,Y) = L_1(X^{\otimes 1}, Y)$. If X and Y are normed spaces, then $L_n(X^{\otimes n}, Y)$ is supplied with the operator norm: $\|A\| := \sup_{h_1 \ne 0,...,h_n \ne 0; h_1,...,h_n \in X} \|A.(h_1,...,h_n)\|_Y / (\|h_1\|_X ... \|h_n\|_X)$.

2. Lemma. *The spaces $C^{[1]}(U,Y)$ and $C^1(U,Y)$ are linearly topologically isomorphic. If $f \in C^n(U,Y)$, then $\bar{\Phi}^n f(x; *; 0,...,0) : X^{\otimes n} \to Y$ is a $\mathbf{K}$ n-linear $C^0(U, L_n(X^{\otimes n}, Y))$ symmetric map.*

Proof. From Definition 1 it follows, that $f^{[1]}(x,v,t) = \bar{\Phi}^1 f(x;v;t)$ on $U^{[1]} = U^{(1)}$, so both $\mathbf{K}$-linear spaces are linearly topologically isomorphic. On the other hand, due to its definition $\bar{\Phi}^n f(x; *, 0, ..., 0)$ is the $\mathbf{K}$ n-linear symmetric mapping for each $x \in U$ and it belongs to $C^0(U, L_n(X^{\otimes n}, Y))$, since $\bar{\Phi}^n f(x; v_1, ..., v_n; t_1, ..., t_n)$ is continuous on $U^{(n)}$ and for each $x \in U$ and $v_1, ..., v_n \in X$ there exist neighborhoods V_i of v_i in X and W of zero in $\mathbf{K}$ such that $x + WV_1 + ... + WV_n \subset U$.

3. Lemma. *Operators $\Upsilon^n(f) := f^{[n]}$ from $C^{[n]}(U,Y)$ into $C^0(U^{[n]},Y)$ and $\bar{\Phi}^n : C^n(U,Y) \to C^0(U^{(n)},Y)$ are $\mathbf{K}$-linear and continuous.*

Proof. Since $[(af+bg)(x+vt) - (af+bg)(x)]/t = a(f(x+vt) - f(x))/t + b(g(x+vt) - g(x))/t$ for each $f, g \in C^1(U,Y)$ and each $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$, then applying this formula by induction and using definitions of operators Υ^n and $\bar{\Phi}^n$ we get their $\mathbf{K}$-linearity. Indeed,

$\Upsilon^n(af + bg)(x^{[n]}) = \Upsilon^1(\Upsilon^{n-1}(af + bg)(x^{[n-1]}))(x^{[n]}) = \Upsilon^1(af^{[n-1]} + bg^{[n-1]})(x^{[n]}) = af^{[n]}(x^{[n]}) + bg^{[n]}(x^{[n]})$ and

$\bar{\Phi}^n(af + bg)(x^{(n)}) = \bar{\Phi}^1(\bar{\Phi}^{n-1}(af + bg)(x^{(n-1)}))(x^{(n)}) = \bar{\Phi}^1(af^{(n-1)} + bg^{(n-1)})(x^{(n)}) = af^{(n)}(x^{(n)}) + bg^{(n)}(x^{(n)})$.

The continuity of Υ^n and $\bar{\Phi}^n$ follows from definitions of spaces $C^{[n]}(U,Y)$ and $C^n(U,Y)$ respectively.

4. Definitions. Let M be a manifold modelled on a topological vector space X over $\mathbf{K}$ such that its atlas $At(M) := \{(U_j, {}_M\phi_j) : j \in \Lambda_M\}$ is of class $C^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}$, that is the following four conditions are satisfied:

$(M1)$ $\{U_j : j \in \Lambda_M\}$ is an open covering of M, $U_j = {}_MU_j$,

$(M2)$ $\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda_M} U_j = M$,

$(M3)$ ${}_M\phi_j := \phi_j : U_j \to \phi_j(U_j)$ is a homeomorphism for each $j \in \Lambda_M$, $\phi_j(U_j) \subset X$,

$(M4)$ $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1} \in C^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}$ on its domain for each $U_i \cap U_j \ne \emptyset$,

where Λ_M is a set, $C^\infty := \bigcap_{l=1}^\infty C^l_\beta$, $C^{[\infty]}_\beta := \bigcap_{l=1}^\infty C^{[l]}$, $\alpha' \in \{n, [n] : 1 \le n \le \infty\}$, $\beta \in \{\emptyset, b\}$, $C^{\alpha'}_\emptyset := C^{\alpha'}$.

Supply $C^\alpha_\beta(U,Y)$ with the bounded-open C^α_β topology (denoted by $\tau_{\alpha,\beta}$ generally or τ_α for $\beta=\emptyset$ or for compact U) with the base $W(P,V)=\{f\in C^\alpha_\beta(X,Y): S^kf|_P\in V, k=0,...,n\}$ of neighborhoods of zero, where P is bounded and open in $U\subset X$, $P\subset U$, V is open in Y, $0\in V$, $S^k=\bar\Phi^k$ or $S^k=\Upsilon^k$ for $\alpha=n$ or $\alpha=[n]$ respectively, $v_1,...,v_n\in(P-y_0)$, $v_l^{[k]}\in(P-y_0)$ for each k,l for some marked $y_0\in P$ and $|t_j|\le 1$ for every j.

If M and N are $C^{\alpha'}_\beta$ manifolds on topological vector spaces X and Y over $\mathbf{K}$, then consider the uniform space $C^\alpha_\beta(M,N)$ of all mappings $f: M\to N$ such that $f_{j,i}\in C^\alpha_\beta$ on its domain for each $j\in\Lambda_N$, $i\in\Lambda_M$, where $f_{j,i}:={}_N\phi_j\circ f\circ{}_M\phi_i^{-1}$ is with values in Y, $\alpha\le\alpha'$. The uniformity in $C^\alpha_\beta(M,N)$ is inherited from the uniformity in $C^\alpha_\beta(X,Y)$ with the help of charts of atlases of M and N. If M is compact, then $C^\alpha_b(M,N)$ and $C^\alpha(M,N)$ coincide.

The family of all homeomorphisms $f: M\to M$ of class C^α_β denote by $Diff^\alpha_\beta(M)$.

Let γ be a set, then denote by $c_0(\gamma,\mathbf{K})$ the normed space consisting of all vectors $x=\{x_j\in\mathbf{K}: j\in\gamma,$ for each $\epsilon>0$ the set $\{j:|x_j|>\epsilon\}$ is finite $\}$, where $\|x\|:=\sup_{j\in\gamma}|x_j|$. In view of the Kuratowski-Zorn lemma it is convenient to consider γ as an ordinal. Henceforth, suppose that $X=c_0(\gamma_X,\mathbf{K})$ and $Y=c_0(\gamma_Y,\mathbf{K})$.

5. Theorem. *The uniform space $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ (see §4) is the topological group relative to compositions of mappings.*

Proof. The group operation in $Diff^\alpha_\beta(M)$ is $(f,g)\mapsto f\circ g$, where $f\circ g(x):=f(g(x))$ for each $x\in M$. Then $f=id$ is the unit element in $Diff^\alpha_\beta(M)$, where $id(x)=x$ for each $x\in M$. Since the composition of mappings is associative, then $f\circ(g\circ h)=(f\circ g)\circ h$ is associative as the group operation. For each $f\in Diff^\alpha_\beta$ there exists its inverse mapping f^{-1} such that $f^{-1}(y)=x$ for each $y=f(x)$, $x\in M$, since $f: M\to M$ is the homeomorphism. It remains to verify that $f^{-1}\in Diff^\alpha_\beta(M)$ for each $f\in Diff^\alpha_\beta(M)$ and the composition $(Diff^\alpha_\beta)^2\ni(f,g)\mapsto f\circ g\in Diff^\alpha_\beta(M)$ and inversion $f\mapsto f^{-1}$ are continuous operations.

In the normed space $Y=c_0(\gamma_Y,\mathbf{K})$ a subspace $span_{\mathbf{K}}\{e_j: j\in\gamma_X\}$ consisting of all finite $\mathbf{K}$-linear combinations of vectors $e_j=(0,...,0,1,0,...)$ with 1 on the j-th place is everywhere dense. Therefore, each $f\in C^\alpha_b(U,Y)$ is the uniform limit of mappings $(f_1,...,f_j,0,...)\in C^\alpha_b(U,Y)$ together with $\bar\Phi^kf$ or Υ^kf on bounded subsets of U and $U^{(k)}$ or $U^{[k]}$ for $1\le k\le n$, $n\in\mathbf{N}$, $n\le\alpha$. In particular, consider ${}_N\phi_l\circ f\circ{}_M\phi_i^{-1}$ for $f\in C^\alpha_b(M,N)$ taking U as a finite union of ${}_M\phi_i({}_MU_j)$. Consider all possible embeddings of $\mathbf{K}^v$ into X, particularly, containing $x^{(k)}$ or $x^{[k]}$ for each $0\le k\le n$, where $n\in\mathbf{N}$, $n\le\alpha$. In view of Formulas 6(1) or 7(1) of the Appendix using restrictions on different embedded subspaces $\mathbf{K}^{[k]}$ or $\mathbf{K}^{(k)}$ into $X^{[k]}$ or $X^{(k)}$ and uniform continuity of Υ^k and $\bar\Phi^k$ on bounded open subsets, $k=0,1,2,...$, we get, that $f\circ g\in C^\alpha_b(M,M)$ for each $f,g\in Diff^\alpha_b(M)$, since $f_{l,s}\circ g_{s,i}\in C^\alpha_b(U_{l,s,i},X)$ on corresponding domains $U_{l,s,i}$ in X. From $f,g\in Hom(M,M)$ it follows, that $f\circ g\in Hom(M,M)$, hence

$f \circ g \in Diff_b^\alpha(M)$. Applying to $id_{l,i} = f_{l,s}^{-1} \circ f_{s,i}$ on corresponding domains Formulas A.6(1) or A.7(1) and restricting on different embedded subspaces $\mathbf{K}^{[k]}$ or $\mathbf{K}^{(k)}$ in $X^{[k]}$ or $X^{(k)}$ and using uniform continuity on bounded open subsets for Υ^k or $\bar{\Phi}^k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$, to both sides of this equality gives that $f^{-1} \in Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ for each $f \in Diff_b^\alpha(M)$.

The space $C_b^\phi(U, Y)$ is normed for U bounded in X for $\phi \in \{n, [n]\}$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

(1) $\|f\|_{C_b^n(U,Y)} := \sup_{0 \le k \le n; z \in V^{(k)}} \|\bar{\Phi}^k f(z)\|_Y$ or

(2) $\|f\|_{C_b^{[n]}(U,Y)} := \sup_{0 \le k \le n; z \in V^{[k]}} \|\Upsilon^k f(z)\|_Y$,

where $V^{(k)} := \{z \in U^{(k)} : z = (x; v_1, v_2, ...; t_1, t_2, ...), \|v_j\|_X = 1 \forall j\}$, $V^{[k]} := \{z = x^{[k]} \in U^{[k]} : \|v_1^{[k-1]}\|_X = 1, |\, {}_l v_2^{[q]} t_{q+1}| \le 1, |v_3^{[q]}| \le 1 \quad \forall l, q\}$. The uniformity of $C_b^\infty(U, Y)$ or $C_b^{[\infty]}(U, Y)$ is defined by the family of such norms. Then the uniformity in $C_b^\alpha(M, N)$ is induced by the uniformity in $C_b^\alpha(U, Y)$ by all bounded subsets U in finite unions of ${}_M\phi_i({}_MU_i)$, since to each $f \in C_b^\alpha(M, N)$ there corresponds $f_{j,i} = {}_N\phi_j \circ f \circ {}_M\phi_i^{-1}$ in $C_b^\alpha(U_{j,i}, Y)$ with a corresponding domain $U_{j,i} \subset X$. Then application of Formulas A.6(1) or A.7(1) by induction on k and restricting on different embedded subspaces $\mathbf{K}^{[k]}$ or $\mathbf{K}^{(k)}$ in $X^{[k]}$ or $X^{(k)}$ and using uniform continuity on bounded open subsets gives that $(f, g) \mapsto f^{-1} \circ g$ is C_b^α uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of U and $U^{(k)}$ or $U^{[k]}$, where U is a finite union of charts U_j of M.

6. Definition. A topological group G is called a C_β^α Lie group if and only if G has a structure of a $C_\beta^{\alpha'}$ manifold and the mapping $G^2 \ni (f, g) \mapsto f^{-1}g \in G$ is of class of smoothness C_β^α, where $\alpha \le \alpha'$.

7. Theorem. *If M is a C_b^α manifold on $X = c_0(\gamma_X, \mathbf{K})$, where either $\alpha = \infty$ or $\alpha = [\infty]$, then $Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ is the C_b^α Lie group.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 5 it remains to demonstrate that G can be supplied with a structure of C_b^α manifold and that $G^2 \ni (f, g) \mapsto f^{-1}g \in G$ is of class of smoothness C_b^α. It is possible to take an equivalent atlas of M consisting of clopen (closed and open simultaneously) charts U_j shrinking it a little in case of necessity. Take a base $\mathcal{W}$ of neighborhoods of id in $Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ from the proof of Theorem 5. Then consider a subgroup Ω in $Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ such that ΩW covers $Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, where $\Omega W := \{gW : g \in \Omega\}$, $gW := \{gf : f \in W\}$. Therefore, the base $\Omega\mathcal{W}$ generates a topology in $Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ equivalent with the initial one (see Chapter 8 in [11]). Moreover, $\Omega\mathcal{W}$ generates a left uniformity in the group of diffeomorphisms.

Consider a subset $W_U := \{f|_U \in W : f \in Diff_b^\alpha(M)\}$ for a bounded open U in U_j for some $j \in \Lambda_M$. Then $\phi_i \circ (gW_U) \circ \phi_j^{-1} \subset C_b^\alpha(V_j, X)$ and $\phi_i \circ (gW_U) \subset C_b^\alpha(U, X)$ for each i, where $V_j := \phi_j(U_j) \subset X$. Therefore, $gW_U \cap hW_V = \{f \in Diff_b^\alpha(M) : g^{-1}f|_U \in W, h^{-1}f|_V \in W\}$, $W_U \cap W_V = \{f \in Diff_b^\alpha(M) : f|_{U \cup V} \in W\}$. Put $\psi_{i,g,j} := \phi_i \otimes g^{-1} \otimes \phi_j^{-1}$ such that $\psi_{i,g,j}(gW_U) := \phi_i \circ g^{-1}((gW_U) \circ \phi_j^{-1}) \subset C_b^\alpha(A, X)$, where $A = \phi_j(U)$, $\psi_{l,h,b}^{-1} := \phi_l^{-1} \otimes h \otimes \phi_b$, hence $\psi^{-1}_{l,h,b}\circ\psi_{i,g,j}(gW_U\cap hW_V)=(\phi_l^{-1}\circ\phi_i)\circ h(W_U\cap g^{-1}hW_V)\circ(\phi_b^{-1}\circ\phi_j)^{-1}$ for $U\subset U_j$, $V\subset U_b$ with $U_j\cap U_b\ne\emptyset$ and $U_l\cap U_i\ne\emptyset$. Thus $\psi_{i,g,j}\circ\psi^{-1}_{l,h,b}$ gives the transition mapping for $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$. On the other hand, each $C^{\alpha}_b(A,X)$ has the natural embedding into $C^{\alpha}_b(X,X)$, since X is totally disconnected and A can be taken clopen in X such that each $f\in C^{\alpha}_b(A,X)$ has a $C^{\alpha}_b(X,X)$ extension. For $U_j\cap U_b\ne\emptyset$ the intersection $W_U\cap W_V$ is non void.

Take $At(Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)):=\{(W_{g,U},\psi_{i,g,j}) : g\in\Omega, i,j\in\Lambda_M\}$ with charts $W_{g,U}:=gW_U$, $W\in\mathcal{W}$ and $g\in\Omega$, U bounded in some U_j and with transition mappings $\psi_{i,g,j}\circ\psi^{-1}_{l,h,b}$ for $W_{h,U}$ and $W_{g,V}$ when $U\cap V\ne\emptyset$. Since $\psi_{i,g,j}\circ\psi^{-1}_{l,h,b}\in C^{\alpha}_b$, then this is the C^{α}_b atlas. The mapping $(f,g)\mapsto f^{-1}g$ is of class $C^{\alpha}_b(Diff^{\alpha}_b(M),Diff^{\alpha}_b(M))$ due to Formulas A.6(1) and A.7(1), since the mappings $(f_{i,j},g_{i,l})\mapsto f^{-1}_{i,j}\circ g_{i,l}$ from $C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)$ into $C^{\alpha}_b(V,X)$ with $U\subset\phi_l(U_l)\ne\emptyset$ and $V\subset\phi_j(U_j)$ are of class C^{α}_b.

8. Theorem. *If an ultrametric field* $\mathbf{K}$ *is complete relative to its multiplicative norm and* $\alpha\ge 1$*, then* $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ *is complete as a left uniform space.*

Proof. Recall some facts about uniform spaces. A subset A of the product $S\times S$ of a set S is called a relation in S. The relation inverse to A is denoted $-A$ such that $-A=\{(x,y):(y,x)\in A\}$ and the composition of relations is denoted $A+B$ such that $A+B=\{(x,z):$ there exists a $y\in S$ such that $(x,y)\in A$ and $(y,z)\in B\}$. Denote by $\Delta:=\{(x,x):x\in S\}$ the diagonal of the product $S\times S$. Every subset in $S\times S$ containing Δ is called an entourage of the diagonal Δ. The family of all entourages of the diagonal is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_S$. One writes $|x-y|<V$ if $(x,y)\in V$ and one says that x and y are at a distance less than V. If the condition $|x-y|<V$ is not satisfied, then one writes $|x-y|\ge V$. If $A\subset S$ and $|x-y|<V$ for each $x,y\in A$, then one says that the diameter $\delta(A)$ of A is less than V. Denote $1A:=A$, $nA:=(n-1)A+A$.

A uniformity $\mathcal{U}$ in a set S is a non-empty subfamily in $\mathcal{D}_S$ satisfying the following four conditions:

$(U1)$ If $V\in\mathcal{U}$ and $V\subset W\in\mathcal{D}_S$, then $W\in\mathcal{U}$;

$(U2)$ If $V_1,V_2\in\mathcal{U}$, then $V_1\cap V_2\in\mathcal{U}$;

$(U3)$ For each $V\in\mathcal{U}$ there exists $W\in\mathcal{U}$ such that $2W\subset V$;

$(U4)$ $\bigcap_{V\in\mathcal{U}}V=\Delta$.

A topological space S is called a T_1 space if for each $x\ne y\in S$ there exists an open set U in S such that $x\in U$ and $y\notin U$. A topological space S is called a Tychonoff space and it is denoted by $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ if it is a T_1 space and for each point $x\in S$ and each closed set J in S with $x\notin J$ there exists a continuous function $f:S\to[0,1]\subset\mathbf{R}$ such that $f(x)=0$ and $f(y)=1$ for each $y\in J$. If S is a Tychonoff space then for every finite family of functions $f_1,...,f_n\in C^0(S,\mathbf{R})$ or $C^0_b(S,\mathbf{R})$ the formula $\rho_{f_1,...,f_n}(x,y):=\max_{i=1}^n|f_i(x)-f_i(y)|$ defines a pseudo-metric in S. The families of such pseudo-metrics denote by P and P_b respectively. They generate uniformities denoted by $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_b$ correspondingly (see Chapter 8 in [11]).

If $\mathcal{U}$ is a uniformity in S, then the family $\mathcal{O} := \{U \subset S : \forall x \in U \exists V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B(x,V) \subset U\}$ is the topology in S and it is called the topology induced by the uniformity $\mathcal{U}$.

Every covering of S for which there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{C}(V)$ is a refinement of it is called a uniform covering relative to $\mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{C}(V) := \{B(x,V) : x \in S\}$, $B(x,V) := \{y \in S : |x-y| < V\}$.

If $(S,\mathcal{U})$ is a uniform space and $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of subsets of S, then one says that $\mathcal{F}$ contains arbitrary small sets if for every $V \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\delta(F) < V$. A uniformity $\mathcal{U}$ in S is called complete or $(S,\mathcal{U})$ is called complete, if for each family of closed subsets $\{F_u : u \in \Psi\}$ of the topological space S with the topology induced by $\mathcal{U}$ which has the finite intersection property and contains arbitrary small sets the intersection $\bigcap_{u \in \Psi} F_u$ is non-empty.

If C is an ordered set and $\{x_u : u \in C\}$ is a net in S such that for each $V \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $u_0 \in C$ for which $|x_u - x_v| < V$ for each $C \ni u, v \geq u_0$, then it is called the Cauchy net. In accordance with theorem of Chapter 8 [11] a uniform space $(S,\mathcal{U})$ is complete if and only if each Cauchy net in this space is convergent. On the other hand, each closed subset A of a complete uniform space $(S,\mathcal{U})$ is complete relative to the uniformity $\mathcal{U}_A$ in A inherited from $\mathcal{U}$ in S.

If G is a topological group and $\mathcal{B}(e) = \mathcal{B}$ is a base of neighborhoods at the unit element e, then each $F \in \mathcal{B}$ determines three coverings of the topological space G: $\mathcal{C}_l(F) = \{xF : x \in G\}$, $\mathcal{C}_r(F) := \{Fx : x \in G\}$, $\mathcal{C}(F) := \{xFy : x, y \in G\}$. By C_l, C_r and C are denoted the families of those coverings of G which have refinements of the form $\mathcal{C}_l(F)$, $\mathcal{C}_r(F)$ or $\mathcal{C}(F)$ respectively. Each of these families generates a uniformity in G. The topology of each of these uniformities is the same as the initial topology in G.

The uniform space $C^\alpha_b(M,M)$ is complete for complete M. If U is a bounded canonical closed subset of M contained in a finite number of charts of M, then $Diff^\alpha_b(U)$ for each $\alpha \geq 1$ is the neighborhood of $id|_U$ in $C^\alpha_b(U,X)$, since from $f \in C^\alpha_b(U,X)$ with $\|(id_{i,j} - f_{i,j})|_{\phi_j(U)}\|_{n,U,X} \leq |\pi|$ for each i, j and $1 \leq n \leq \alpha$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, it follows that $f \in Diff^\alpha_b(U)$, where $\pi \in \mathbf{K}$, $0 < |\pi| < 1$, $\| * \|_{n,U,X}$ is either $\| * \|_{C^n_b(U,X)}$ or $\| * \|_{C^{[n]}_b(U,X)}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ or $\alpha \in \{[1],[2],...\} \cup \{[\infty]\}$ respectively.

Let $\{f_w : w \in C\}$ be a Cauchy net in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$, where C is a directed set. This means that for each neighborhood W of id in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ there exists $w_0 \in C$ such that $f_w^{-1} f_v \in W$ for each $w_0 \leq w, v \in C$, hence $f_v \in f_{w_0} W$ for each $v \geq w_0$. Since $Diff^\alpha_b(M) \subset C^\alpha_b(M,M)$ and $C^\alpha_b(M,M)$ is complete, then f_v converges in $C^\alpha_b(M,M)$ to a function f. Uniformities in the latter space and in the group of diffeomorphisms are related by formulas outlined above. We can take as W a canonical closed subset in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$, $id \in W$. For each bounded U in M as above the restriction $f|_U$ is the diffeomorphism of U onto $f(U)$, $f|_U \in f_{w_0}W_U$.

Relative to the left uniformity in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ the left shift mapping L_h is uniformly continuous, where $L_hg := hg$ for each h, g in the group. There exists a canonical closed neighborhood U of the unit element id in $C^\alpha_b(M,X)$ such that it is contained in the group, since $\alpha \ge 1$ and due to definitions of uniformities in them, while M is modeled on the Banach space. Take a canonical closed symmetric neighborhood V in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$, $V = cl(Int(V))$, $V^{-1} = V$, such that $V^2 \subset U$. If $\{g_n : n\}$ is a Cauchy net in the group, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that $\rho(g_m, g_n) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m > n_0$, where ρ is the left-invariant metric in the group. This is equivalent with the fact that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 so that $\|g_n^{-1}g_m - id\|_{C^\alpha_b} < \epsilon$ in the corresponding space for each $n, m > n_0$. On the other hand, there exists h in the group and $N > 0$ so that $L_hg_m \in V$ for every $m > N$. If X is a Banach space, then from the completeness of $C^\alpha_b(M,X)$, and hence of U, since U is closed in $C^\alpha_b(M,X)$, it follows, that $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ is also complete as the uniform space (see also Theorems 8.3.6 and 8.3.20 [11]).

Since $\mathbf{K}$ is complete, then X is complete, hence X is the Banach space. Thus $C^\alpha_b(U,X)$ is complete for each U bounded clopen subset in X. Consider a neighborhood W from the base of neighborhoods of id, $W = W_{U,n,\epsilon,i,j} = \{f \in Diff^\alpha_b(M) : \|f_{i,j}\|_{n,U,X} < \epsilon\}$, where $i, j \in \Lambda_M$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \le \alpha$, U is a clopen bounded subset in X such that $U \subset \phi_j(U_j)$, $0 < \epsilon < \infty$, $\| * \|_{n,U,X} := \| * \|_{C^n_b(U,X)}$ or $\| * \|_{n,U,X} := \| * \|_{C^{[n]}_b(U,X)}$ respectively. Take without loss of generality $0 < \epsilon \le |\pi|$. The manifold M is on the normed space X, hence M is paracompact and it has a locally finite refinement of its atlas (see [11]). Each $\psi_i \circ f_w \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ is converging to a function denoted $f_{i,j}$ and by transfinite induction this consistent family $\{f_{i,j}\}$ induces $f \in C^\alpha_b(M,M)$ such that f_w converges to f. Since $\Upsilon^k\phi_i \circ f_w \circ \phi_j^{-1}(V^{[k]})$ is bounded for each $V^{[k]}$ corresponding to bounded U, then $\Upsilon^k\phi_i \circ f \circ \phi_j^{-1}(V^{[k]})$ is bounded and $\|f_{i,j}\|_{n,U,X} < \infty$, analogously for $\bar{\Phi}^k f$. Thus $f \in C^\alpha_b(M,M)$, consequently, $f \in Diff^\alpha_b(M)$.

May be simply it can be proved using the tangent bundle of the group of diffeomorphisms for $\alpha \ge 1$.

9. Theorem. *If a manifold M has a finite atlas having clopen bounded $\phi_j(U_j) \subset X$, $0 \le \alpha < \infty$, then $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ is metrizable by a left invariant metric.*

Proof. The metrization Theorem 8.3 [16] states that if G is a T_0 topological group, then G is metrizable if and only if there is a countable open basis at e. In this case, the metric can be taken left-invariant. If $At(M)$ is finite such that each $\phi_j(U_j)$ is a clopen bounded subset in X, then the base of neighborhoods of id in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ is countable and $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$

is metrizable by a left invariant metric in accordance with the general metrization theorem. Practically take as the metric $\rho(f,g) := \rho(id, f^{-1}g) := \max_{i,j\in\Lambda_M} \|id_{i,j} - (f^{-1}g)_{i,j}\|_{C^\alpha_b(V_j,X)}$, where $V_j := \phi_j(U_j)$.

10. Remark. As it is known left and right uniformities in a topological group may be different. Here a left uniformity was considered above. On the same group there may exist several topologies supplying it with structures of a topological group and these topologies need not be comparable.

3 Projective decomposition of diffeomorphism groups.

1. Notations and Notes. Let M and N be compact manifolds over a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$. Suppose that M and N are embedded into $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1)$ and $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1)$ as clopen (closed and open at the same time) subsets [6, 29], where $\mathsf{m},\mathsf{n}\in\mathbf{N}$, $B(X,y,r) := \{z : z\in X; d_X(y,z)\le r\}$ denotes a clopen ball in a space X with an ultra-metric d_X.

The unit ball $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1)$ has the ring structure with coordinate wise addition and multiplication, where $char(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ or $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 1$ is a prime number. This ring is isomorphic to a subring of diagonal matrices in the ring $M_n(\mathbf{K})$ of $n\times n$ square matrices over $\mathbf{K}$. Then $B(\mathbf{K}^n,0,|\pi|^k)$ for $k\ge 1$, $\pi\in\mathbf{K}$, $0<|\pi|<1$ is its two-sided ideal, since $\mathbf{K}$ is commutative and $|*| = |*|_{\mathbf{K}}$ is the multiplicative norm in $\mathbf{K}$. Thus there exists the quotient ring $B(\mathbf{K}^n,0,1)/B(\mathbf{K}^n,0,|\pi|^k)$ [4]. The ring $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1)$ is algebraically isomorphic with the projective limit $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1) = pr-\lim_k {\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}}^{\mathsf{n}}$, $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$ is a finite ring consisting of p^{kc} elements such that $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}(\mathbf{K})$ is equal to the quotient ring $B(\mathbf{K},0,1)/B(\mathbf{K},0,p^{-k})$, ${\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}}^{\mathsf{n}} = {\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}}^{\otimes\mathsf{n}}$ is an external product of n copies of $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$, c is a natural number. Though their structure depends on $char(\mathbf{K})$ we denote these rings by the same symbol depending on $\mathbf{K}$ and omitting it, when a field is specified. In particular $B(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}(\theta),0,1)/B(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}(\theta),0,p^{-k}) = (\mathbf{F}_{p^n})^{\otimes p^k} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{nk}}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{nk}}}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}(\theta))$ and $B(\mathbf{Q_p},0,1)/B(\mathbf{Q_p},0,p^{-k}) = \mathbf{Z_p}/(p^k\mathbf{Z_p}) = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}(\mathbf{Q_p})$ are finite rings consisting of p^{nk} and p^k elements respectively, $\mathbf{Z_p}$ is the ring of p-adic integer numbers, $aB := \{x : x = ab, b\in B\}$ for a multiplicative group B and its element $a\in B$, $k\in\mathbf{N}$ [37, 42]. The quotient mapping $\pi_k : \mathbf{K}\to\mathbf{K}/B(\mathbf{K},0,p^{-k})$ is defined as well, where $k\in\mathbf{N}$.

Decompositions of continuous and differentiable functions on compact subsets of locally compact fields $\mathbf{K}$ of zero and non-zero characteristics with values in $\mathbf{K}$ into series of polynomials were studied in [1, 39, 7] and references therein. Each function $f\in C^t(M,N)$ has a $C^t(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1),\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})$-extension by zero on $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1)$. Therefore, it has the decomposition

(1) $f = \sum_{l,m} f^l_m \bar{Q}_m e_l$

in the Amice's basis $\bar{Q}_m$, where e_l is the standard orthonormal in the non-archimedean sense [37] basis in $\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}$ such that $e_l = (0,...,0,1,0,...)$ with 1 in the l-th place, $m \in \mathbf{Z}^{\mathsf{n}}$, $m_l \ge 0$, $m = (m_1,...,m_{\mathsf{n}})$, $f^l_m \in \mathbf{K}$ are expansion coefficients such that $\lim_{l+|m|\to\infty} |f^l_m|_{\mathbf{K}} J(t,m) = 0$, $\bar{Q}_m$ are polynomials on $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1)$ with values in $\mathbf{K}$, $J(t,m) := \|\bar{Q}_m\|_{C^t_b(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1),\mathbf{K})}$. The space $C^t(M,N)$ is supplied with the uniformity inherited from the space $C^t_b(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})$.

2. Lemma. *Each* $f \in C^t(M,N)$ *is a projective limit* $f = pr - \lim_k f_k$ *of polynomials* $f_k = \sum_{l,m} f^l_{m,k} \bar{Q}_{m,k} e_l$ *on rings* $\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}} = \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}(\mathbf{K})$ *with values in* $\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}} = \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}(\mathbf{K})$, *where* $f^l_{m,k} \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$ *and* $\bar{Q}_{m,k}$ *are polynomials on* $\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$ *with values in* $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$.

Proof. For each $m \ge k$ consider the quotient mappings (ring homomorphisms): $\pi_m : B(\mathbf{K},0,1) \to \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{m}}}$ and $\pi^m_k : \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{m}}} \to \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$ (see §1). This induces the quotient mappings $\pi_m : N \to N_m$ and $\pi^m_k : N_m \to N_k$, where $N_m \subset \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{m}}}$, $\pi^m_k \circ \pi_m = \pi_k$, $\pi^k_k = id_k : \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}} \to \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$.

Let now M and N be two analytic compact manifolds embedded into $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1)$ and $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1)$ respectively as clopen subsets and $f \in C^t(M,N)$, where $C^t(M,N)$ denotes the space of functions $f : M \to N$ of class C^t, $t \ge 0$. There exists $s \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $x \in M$ and $y \in N$, then $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},x,p^{-s}) \subset M$ and $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},y,p^{-s}) \subset N$. Therefore, consider the cofinal set $\Lambda_s := \{k : k \ge s, k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in $\mathbf{N}$. For an integer t it is a space of t-times continuously differetiable functions in the sense of partial difference quotients (see Section 2 and [21, 23, 39]). Thus $f = pr - \lim_k f_k$, where $f_k := \pi^*_k(f)$, π^*_k is naturally induced by π_k using the polynomial expansion of f (see in details below), where such decomposition exists for each continuous $f : M \to N$ due to Formula 1(1) (for the limit of an inverse sequence, see [4], §2.5 [11] and §§3.3, 12.202 [31]). Put $C^t(M_k,N_k) := \pi^*_k \circ C^t(M,N) = \{f_k : f \in C^t(M,N)\}$, hence

(1) $C^t(M,N) \subset pr - \lim_k C^t(M_k,N_k)$

algebraically without taking into account topologies. Thus write it in the form:

(2) $C^t(M,N) = T - pr - \lim\{C^t(M_k,N_k), \pi^k_l, \Lambda_s | C^t(M,N)\}$ algebraically, where

(3) $T - pr - \lim\{P_k, \pi^k_l, \Lambda | G\} := \{f : pr - \lim\{f_k, \pi^k_l, \Lambda\} = f, f \in G, f_k \in P_k \ \forall k \in \Lambda\}$

denotes the conditional projective limit with a condition G, since $T - pr - \lim\{P_k, \pi^k_l, \Lambda | G\} = G \cap pr - \lim\{P_k, \pi^k_l, \Lambda\}$.

Indeed, in accordance with §1 $f_k = \pi^*_k(f)$ and

(4) $\pi^*_k(f(x)) = \sum_{l,m} (\pi_k(f^l_m))(\pi^*_k \bar{Q}_m(x)) e_l$,

since π_k is the ring homomorphism and $\pi_k(e_l) = e_l$. Then $\pi_k(ax^m) = a_k x^m(k)$ for each $a \in \mathbf{K}$ and $x \in B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,1)$, where $x^m = x_1^{m_1} ... x_{\mathsf{m}}^{m_{\mathsf{m}}}$, $a_k = \pi_k(a)$ with $a_k \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$ and $x^m(k) := \pi_k(x^m)$ with $x(k) \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}}$, hence

(5) $\pi^*_k(\bar{Q}_m(x)) = \bar{Q}_{m,k}(x(k))$.

If $\bar{Q}_m(x) = \sum_{q, 0 \le q_j \le m_j \forall j} b_q x^q$, where $q = (q_1,...,q_{\mathsf{m}})$, $m = (m_1,...,m_{\mathsf{m}})$, $m_j \in \{0,1,2,...\} =:$

$\mathbf{N_0}$, $j = 1, ..., \mathsf{m}$, $b_q \in \mathbf{K}$, $x^q := x_1^{q_1} ... x_{\mathsf{m}}^{q_{\mathsf{m}}}$, then

$\bar{Q}_{m,k}(x(k)) = \sum_{q, 0 \le q_j \le m_j \forall j} \pi_k(b_q) x(k)^q$,

$x(k) = (\pi_k(x_1), ..., \pi_k(x_{\mathsf{m}}))$, since $\pi_k : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-k})$ is defined as well. The series for f_k is finite, since $\pi_k(a) = 0$ for each $a \in \mathbf{K}$ with $|a| < p^{-k}$ and

(6) $\lim_{l+|m| \to \infty} |f^l_m|_{\mathbf{K}} J(t, m) = 0$. Therefore,

(7) $f(x) = pr - \lim\{f_k(x(k)), \pi^k_l, \Lambda_s\}$ for each $x \in M$.

As shows this proof the index t can be omitted from $C^t(M_k, N_k)$, where $k \in \Lambda_s$. More precisely we have the following corollary.

3. Corolary. *The space* $C^t(M_k, N_k)$ *is independent from* t *and it is algebraically isomorphic with the space* $N_k^{M_k}$ *of all mappings from* M_k *into* N_k *for each* $k \in \Lambda_s$. *Moreover,* $(\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\mathbf{p^k}})^{(\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p^k}})}$ *is a finite-dimensional space over the ring* $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p^k}}$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2 in the module $C^t(\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p^k}}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p^k}})$ of the ring $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p^k}}$ there is only a finite number of $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p^k}}$-linearly independent polynomilas $\bar{Q}_{m,k}(x(k))$, since the rings $\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathbf{p^k}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p^k}}$ are finite, also $z^a = z^b$ for each natural numbers a and b such that $a = b \ (mod \ (p^k))$ and each $z \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p^k}}$. The space $C^t(M_k, N_k)$ is isomorphic with $N_k^{M_k}$, since M_k and N_k are discrete.

Therefore, denote $C^t(M_k, N_k)$ by $C(M_k, N_k)$.

4. Corollary. *There exists the group* $\pi^*_k \circ Diff^\alpha(M)$ *isomorphic with the symmetric group* $\Sigma_{\mathsf{n_k}}$ *for every* $k \in \Lambda_s$, *where* $\mathsf{n_k}$ *is the cardinality of* M_k, $\alpha \in \{n, [n] : 0 \le n \le \infty\}$.

Proof. For each $k \in \Lambda_s$ there exists the mapping $\pi_k : M \to M_k$ (see the proof of lemma 2). Since π_k is the quotient continuous mapping, then $\pi_k(M) = M_k$. If $f : M \to M$ is a continuous epimorphism, $f(M) = M$, then $\pi_k(f(M)) = M_k$. Let $z \in M_k$, $k \in \Lambda_s$, then there exists $x \in M$ such that $\pi_k(x) = z$, hence $f_k(z) = \pi^*_k(f(\pi_k^{-1}(z)))$ due to Formulas $2(4,5)$, since $\pi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(z)) = z$ and $\pi_k(x) = x(k) = z$. Then $\pi_k^{-1}(M_k) = M$ and $\pi_k^{*-1}(f_k(M_k)) = \bigcup_{z \in M_k} \{f(x) : x \in \pi_k^{-1}(z)\}$, consequently, $\pi_k^{*-1}(f_k(M_k)) = M$, since for each $x \in M$ there exists $z \in M_k$ such that $\pi_k(x) = z$. Therefore, if $h \in Diff^\alpha(M)$, then $h_k(M_k) = M_k$, since $h(M) = M$ and M_k is finite. In accordance with Theorem 3.2.14 [11] if $\{\phi, f_{\sigma'}\}$ is a mapping of an inverse spectrum $\{X_\sigma, \pi^\sigma_\rho, \Psi\}$ of compacts into an inverse spectrum $\{Y_{\sigma'}, \pi^{\sigma'}_{\rho'}, \Psi'\}$ of T_1-spaces and all $f_{\sigma'}$ are epimorphisms on $Y_{\sigma'}$, then the limit mapping $f = pr - \lim\{\phi, f_{\sigma'}\}$ is also the epimorphism. In view of Corollary 3 $\pi^*_k \circ Diff^\alpha(M)$ is algebraically isomorphic with the following discrete group $Hom(M_k)$ of all homeomorphisms h_k of M_k, that is, bijective surjective mappings $h_k : M_k \to M_k$. Using an enumeration of elements of M_k we get an isomorphism of $Hom(M_k)$ with $\Sigma_{\mathsf{n_k}}$.

5. Suppose that $C_w(M, N) := pr - \lim_k\{N_k^{M_k}, \pi^k_l, \Lambda_s\}$ is an uniform space of continuous mappings $f : M \to N$ supplied with an uniformity and projective weak topology as well inherited from products of uniform spaces $\prod_{k=1}^\infty N_k^{M_k}$ (see also §8.2 [11] and §1 above). Denote the corresponding projective weak topology in $C_w(M,N)$ by τ_w. The spaces $C^\alpha(M,N)$ and $C_w(M,N)$ are subsets of $\mathbf{K}$-linear spaces $C^\alpha(M,\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})$ and $C^0(M,\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})$ respectively. Supply with algebraic structures subsets of the latter $\mathbf{K}$-linear spaces as inherited from them.

Corollary. *The uniform space $C^\alpha(M,N)$ is not algebraically isomorphic with $C_w(M,N)$, when $\alpha>0$. The topological space $C_w(M,N)$ is compact.*

Proof. In accordance with appendix in [4] and §2.5 [11] and Formulas $2(1-6)$ above the spaces $C^0(M,N)$ and $C_w(M,N)$ coincide algebraically, since the connecting mappings π^m_n are uniformly continuous for each $m\ge n$. The space $C^0(M,\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})$ is $\mathbf{K}$-linear and its uniformity is completely determined by a neighborhood base of zero. The space $C_w(M,N)$ is uniformly homeomorphic with $pr-\lim_k(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}^{\mathsf{k}}})^{M_k}$, which is compact by the Tychonoff Theorem 3.2.4 [11]. Since $C^0(M,N)\ne C^\alpha(M,N)$ for $\alpha>0$, then $C_w(M,N)$ and $C^\alpha(M,N)$ are different algebraically see Formulas $2(1-3)$).

Remark. In general in two consequtive projective limits of topological spaces two limits may be non commuting.

6. Suppose that $Diff_w(M):=pr-\lim_k Hom(M_k)$ is supplied with the uniformity inherited from $C_w(M,M)$. The group $Diff_w(M)$ is called the non-archimedean compactification of $Diff^\alpha(M)$.

Theorem. *The group $Diff_w(M)$ is the compact topological group and it is the compactification of $Diff^\alpha(M)$ in the projective weak topology τ_w. If $\alpha>0$, then $Diff^\alpha(M)$ does not coincide with $Diff_w(M)$.*

Proof. From $Diff^\alpha(M)\subset C^\alpha(M,M)$ it follows that $Diff^\alpha(M)$ has the corresponding algebraic embedding into $C_w(M,M)$ as the set. Since $C_w(M,M)$ is compact and $Hom(M)$ is a closed subset in $C_w(M,M)$, then due to Corollary 5 $Hom(M)\cap C_w(M,M)=Diff_w(M)$ is compact. The topological space $C^\alpha(M,M)$ is dense in $C^0(M,M)$, consequently, $Diff^\alpha(M)$ is dense in $Diff_w(M)$. If $\alpha>0$, then $Diff^\alpha(M)\ne Hom(M)$, hence $Diff^\alpha(M)$ and $Diff_w(M)$ do not coincide algebraically. It remains to verify, that $Diff_w(M)$ is the topological group in its projective weak topology τ_w. If $f,g\in C^\alpha(M,N)$, then $\pi^*_k(\bar Q_m(g(x)))=\bar Q_{m,k}(g_k(x(k))$ due to Formula 2(4), consequently, $\pi^*_k(f\circ g)=\sum_{l,m}\pi_k(f^l_m)\bar Q_{m,k}(g_k(x(k))e_l$, hence

(1) $(f\circ g)_k=f_k\circ g_k$.

Since $\pi_k(x)=x(k)$, then $\pi^*_k(id(x))=id_k(x(k))$, where $id(x)=x$ for each $x\in M$. Therefore, for $f=g^{-1}$ we have $(f\circ g)_k=f_k\circ g_k=id_k$, hence

(2) $\pi^*_k(g^{-1})=g^{-1}_k$.

The associativity of the composition $(f_k\circ g_k)\circ h_k=f_k\circ(g_k\circ h_k)$ of all functions $f_k,g_k,h_k\in Hom(M_k)$ together with others properties given above means, that $Diff_w(M)$ is the algebraic group.

Indeed, inverse limits of mappings $f = pr - \lim_k f_k$, $g = pr - \lim_k g_k$ and $h = pr - \lim_k h_k$ satisfy the associativity axiom as well, each f has the inverse element $f^{-1} = pr - \lim_k f_k^{-1}$ such that $f^{-1}(f(x)) = id$ and $e = id$ is the unit element. By the definition of the weak topology in $Diff_w(M)$ for each neighborhood of $e = id$ in $Diff_w(M)$ there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and a subset $W_k \subset Hom(M_k)$ such that $e_k \in \pi_k^{-1}(W_k) \subset W$. On the other hand, $Hom(M_k)$ is discrete, hence there are $e_k \in V_k \subset Hom(M_k)$ and $e_k \in U_k \subset Hom(M_k)$ such that $V_k U_k \subset W_k$, hence there are neighborhoods $e \in V \subset Diff_w(M)$ and $e \in U \subset Diff_w(M)$ such that $VU \subset W$, where $V = \pi_k^{-1}(V_k)$, $U = \pi_k^{-1}(U_k)$ and $VU = \{h : h = f \circ g, f \in V, g \in U\}$. Consider a neighborhood W' of f^{-1}, then $V := W' f^{-1}$ is the neighborhood of e and there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\pi_k^{-1}(e_k) =: U \subset V^{-1}$, since $e_k^{-1} = e_k$ and π_k is the homomorphism. Thus, $fU := W$ is the neighborhood of f such that $W^{-1} \subset W'$, hence the inversion operation $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous.

7. Theorem. *The initial C^α topology τ_α and the weak projective limit topology τ_w in $Diff^\alpha(M)$ are incomparable, where M is compact.*

Proof. Remind that a topology $\mathcal{O}_1$ in a topological space F is called weaker, than a topology $\mathcal{O}_2$, if $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ or one says that $\mathcal{O}_2$ is stronger than $\mathcal{O}_1$. Up to a diffeomorphism as above consider M clopen in $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}, 0, 1)$. Since $Diff^\beta(M)$ is contained in $Diff^\alpha(M)$ for each $\beta > \alpha \ge 0$ and τ_β is stronger than τ_α in $Diff^\beta(M)$, then it is sufficient to prove this theorem for $\alpha \ge 1$. Each projection $\pi_k^* : C^\alpha(M, \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}) \to (\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathsf{n}})^{M_k}$ induces the quotient metric ρ_k in the $\mathbf{K_k}$-module $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathsf{n}})^{M_k}$ such that $\rho_k(f_k, g_k) := \inf_{z, \pi_k(z)=0} \|f - g + z\|_{C^\alpha(M, \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})}$, where $\mathbf{K_k} := \mathbf{K}/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-k})$ is the quotient ring and π_k is induced by such quotient mapping from $\mathbf{K}$ onto $\mathbf{K_k}$.

In view of the Kaplansky theorem which is the non-archimedean analog of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem and true over fields of zero and non-zero characteristics in $C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}, 0, 1), \mathbf{K})$ the set of polynomials is dense [39, 7]. Thus after application of the quotient mapping we get that in the module $C(\mathbf{S_{p^k}}^{\mathsf{n}}, \mathbf{S_{p^k}})$ over the finite ring $\mathbf{S_{p^k}}$ the set of polynomials is dense. Moreover, each continuous function has a decomposition into converging series of polynomials. The locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ is commutative [42], hence the ring $\mathbf{S_{p^k}}$ is commutative. This means that the multiplicative group $\mathbf{S_{p^k}}^* := \mathbf{S_{p^k}} \setminus \{0\}$ is commutative and consists of $p^k - 1$ elements. Thus, if $0 \ne x \in \mathbf{S_{p^k}}$, then $x^{p^k} = 1$. Therefore, over $\mathbf{S_{p^k}}$ the set of polynomials is finite dimensional, hence each $f_k \in C(\mathbf{S_{p^k}}^{\mathsf{n}}, \mathbf{S_{p^k}})$ is polynomial over $\mathbf{S_{p^k}}$.

Again consider $C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}, 0, 1), \mathbf{K})$, which is the algebra over $\mathbf{K}$. If $f_i(x) = x_i$, where $x = (x_1, ..., x_{\mathsf{n}}) \in B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}, 0, 1)$, then $\prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} f_i(x)^{s_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} x_i^{s_i} \in C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}, 0, 1), \mathbf{K})$, where

$x_i^s := \prod_{j=1}^s (x_i)_j$ with $(x_i)_j = x_i$ for each j, where $s, s_i \in \mathbf{N}$. In particular, it contains a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_k$ containing all constants from $\mathbf{K}$ and all polynomials of the form

(1) $\sum_{k_1=p^kl(1),...,k_{\mathsf{n}}=p^kl(\mathsf{n})} a_{k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}} x_1^{k_1}...x_{\mathsf{n}}^{k_{\mathsf{n}}}$,

where $a_{k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}} \in \mathbf{K}$, $l(i) \in \{0,1,2,...\}$ with

(2) $\sum_{k_1=p^kl(1),...,k_{\mathsf{n}}=p^kl(\mathsf{n})} a_{k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}} = 0$, $1 \le k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

This algebra $\mathcal{A}_k$ over $\mathbf{K}$ separates points in $C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1),\mathbf{K})$ and by the Kaplansky theorem $\mathcal{A}_k$ is everywhere dense in $C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1),\mathbf{K}))$. But $\pi_k(x_i^{k_i}) = (\pi_k(x_i^{p^k}))^{l(i)} = 1$ for each i and $k_i = p^kl(i)$ with $l(i) \in \{0,1,2,...\}$. Consider a polynomial with values in $\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}$ of the form

(3) $f = id + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \sum_{k_1=p^kl(1),...,k_{\mathsf{n}}=p^kl(\mathsf{n})} a_{i,k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}} x_1^{k_1}...x_{\mathsf{n}}^{k_{\mathsf{n}}} e_i$,

where $(f - id) \in \mathcal{A}_k^{\mathsf{n}}$, $|a_{i,k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}}| \le |\pi|$ for each $i, l(1),...,l(\mathsf{n})$, where $\pi \in B(\mathbf{K},0,1)$, $|\pi| < 1$, $|\pi| = \max\{y \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} : 0 < y < 1\}$. Then $\|f - id\|_{C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1),\mathbf{K})} \le |\pi|$, consequently, f is the isometry and $f \in Diff^\alpha(M)$ and inevitably

$f_k := \pi_k^*(f) = \pi_k^*(id) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \sum_{k_1=p^kl(1),...,k_{\mathsf{n}}=p^kl(\mathsf{n})} \pi_k(a_{i,k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}}) e_i = \pi_k^*(id)$

due to Condition (2). Therefore, $(\pi_k^*)^{-1}(e_k)$ is everywhere dense in a neighborhood of $e = id$ in $Diff^\alpha(M)$, where $e_k \in \pi_k^*(Diff^\alpha(M)) = Hom(M_k)$ is the unit element, $k > 1$, $card(M_k) > 1$, $Hom(M_k)$ is the symmetric group of M_k elements.

On the other hand, there is $\mathsf{c} = card(\mathbf{R})$ elements $f \in Diff^\alpha(M)$ with

$\|f - id\|_{C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1),\mathbf{K})} \le |\pi|$ such that

$f = id + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} \sum_{k_1=p^kl(1),...,k_{\mathsf{n}}=p^kl(\mathsf{n})} a_{i,k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}} x_1^{k_1}...x_{\mathsf{n}}^{k_{\mathsf{n}}} e_i$, but with

$\sum_{k_1=p^kl(1),...,k_{\mathsf{n}}=p^kl(\mathsf{n})} a_{i,k_1,...,k_{\mathsf{n}}} \ne 0$ for which $\pi_k^*(f) \ne e_k$.

Thus the set $\pi_k^{*-1}(e_k)$ is open in $(Diff^\alpha(M), \tau_w)$, but $\pi_k^{*-1}(e_k) \notin \tau_\alpha$. At the same time, $\{f \in Diff^\alpha(M) : \|f - id\|_{C^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}},0,1),\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}})} \le |\pi|\}$ is open in $(Diff^\alpha(M), \tau_\alpha)$, but it is not open in τ_w topology. This proves the assertion of this theorem, since neither τ_α nor τ_w is weaker among two of them and they are different.

8. Theorem. *Let M be a C^α manifold finite dimensional over a non-archimedean infinite field $\mathbf{K}$ with a non trivial multiplicative norm complete relative to its uniformity, where $\mathbf{K}$ may be non locally compact. Suppose that $Diff_b^\alpha(M)$ is the group of all uniformly C^α continuous diffeomorphisms of M, where M is embedded into $\mathbf{K}^n$ as the bounded clopen subset, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$, $1 \le t \le \infty$. Then $G_s := \{g \in Diff_b^\alpha(M) : \|g - id\|_{C^\alpha(M,\mathbf{K}^n)} < |\pi|^s\}$ has a non-archimedean completion, which is a group, where $\pi \in \mathbf{K}$, $|\pi| < 1$, $1 \le s \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. If $g \in G_s$, then g is the isometry: $|g(x) - g(y)| = |x - y|$ for each $x, y \in M \subset \mathbf{K}^n$, since $1 \le t$ and $g(x) - g(y) = \bar{\Phi}^1 g(x; x-y; 1)$, where $1 \le s$. Without loss of generality up to an affine diffeomorphism of $\mathbf{K}^n$ we can consider, that $M \subset B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, 1)$. Consider the ring homomorphism $\pi_k : B(\mathbf{K}^n,0,1) \to B(\mathbf{K}^n,0,1)/B(\mathbf{K}^n,0,|\pi|^k)$, where the quotient ring is discrete and can be supplied with the quotient norm. Then $\pi_k(M) =: M_k$ is the discrete topological space.

Since M is clopen in $\mathbf{K}^n$ then each $g \in Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ has the extension as id on $\mathbf{K}^n \setminus M$. Therefore, without loss of generality consider M such that there exists $q \in \mathbf{N}$ for which from $x \in M$ it follows that $B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^q) \subset M$. Consider the cofinal set $\Lambda_q := \{k \in \mathbf{N} : k \ge q\}$. If $g \in G_s$, then

(1) $g(B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^k)) = B(\mathbf{K}^n, g(x), |\pi|^k)$ for each $B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^k) \subset M$, since g is the isometry. Consequently,

(2) $f \circ g(B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^k)) = f(B(\mathbf{K}^n, g(x), |\pi|^k)) = B(\mathbf{K}^n, f(g(x)), |\pi|^k)$ and
$g^{-1}(B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^k) = B(\mathbf{K}^n, g^{-1}(x), |\pi|^k)$ for each $f, g \in G_s$.

Therefore, g and π_k generate the natural mapping ${}_kg : M_k \to M_k$ such that it is bijective and epimorphic, since $\pi_k^{-1}(z) = B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^k)$ for each $z \in \pi_k(B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, 1))$, and

(3) $B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, |\pi|^k) = x + B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, |\pi|^k)$, where $x \in B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, 1)$ is such that $\pi_k(x) = z$, $\pi^*_k(g(x)) = {}_kg(z) := \pi_k \circ g \circ \pi_k^{-1}(z)$ for each $z \in M_k$. Thus due to Equations $(1-3)$ there exists the discrete group $\pi^*_k(G_s) =: {}_kG_s$ and $\pi^l_k({}_lG_s) = {}_kG_s$ for each $l \ge k$, where π^l_k are mappings of the inverse system such that $\pi^l_k \circ \pi_l = \pi_k$ such that π^l_k and π_l, π_k are algebraic homomorphisms, π^l_k are written without star for simplicity of notation. As in Theorem 6 it gives the inverse sequence of discrete groups $S = \{{}_lG_s, \pi^l_k, \Lambda_q\}$. In view of Lemma 2.5.9 [11] if $\{\phi, f_{\sigma'}\}$ is a mapping of an inverse system $S = \{X_\sigma, \pi^\sigma_\rho, \Psi\}$ into an inverse system $S' = \{Y_{\sigma'}, \pi^{\sigma'}_{\rho'}, \Psi'\}$ and all mappings $f_{\sigma'}$ are injective, then the limit mapping $f = \lim\{\phi, f_{\sigma'}\}$ is also injective. If moreover, all $f_{\sigma'}$ are surjective, then f is also surjective.

Each discrete topological group is complete relative to its left uniformity generated by its topology. Thus, the limit $\lim S$ of the inverse system of discrete groups is the Tychonoff topological group relative to the projective weak topology inherited from the product Tychonoff topology τ_w, $G \subset \lim S \subset \prod_{l \in \Lambda_q} {}_lG_s$. Moreover, $\lim S =: G^w_s$ is the complete uniform space with the left uniformity $\mathcal{T}_w$ generated by the left shifts and the neighborhood base of e in G^w_s, since each ${}_kG_s$ is complete (see Theorems 2.5.13, 8.3.6 and 8.3.9 [11]). We have that algebraically $G_s \subset G^w_s$, G_s is the topological group relative to the topology inherited from G^w_s. In view of Theorem 7 the $\tau_w|_{G_s}$ topology is incomparable with the C^α_b uniformly bounded continuous topology.

9. Corollary. *Let $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ be the group as in Theorem 8. Then $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ has the non-archimedean completion which is the topological group.*

Proof. Let G_s be the subgroup as in Theorem 8, then G_s is clopen in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$. There exists a family $g_j \in Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ such that $\bigcup_{j \in \Psi} g_jG_s = Diff^\alpha_b(M)$, where Ψ is a set. We have that gG_s is clopen in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ for each $g \in Diff^\alpha_b(M)$, since $L_g : Diff^\alpha_b(M) \to$

$Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ is the homeomorphism, where $L_g(h) = gh$ for each $g, h \in Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$. Then $g_jG_s \cap g_iG_s = g_j(G_s \cap g_j^{-1}g_iG_s)$. Let $g_{j_0} = id$ and $g_j \ne id$ for each $j \ne j_0$.

The group $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ is metrizable, since $C^{\alpha}_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n) \subset C^{\alpha}_b(B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, 1), \mathbf{K}^n)$ is metrizable, hence it is paracompact. Each two balls in $C^{\alpha}_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n)$ either are disjoint or coincide, since it is the normed space. We have that $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ is contained in $C^{\alpha}_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n)$ and at the same time $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ is the neighborhood of id in $C^{\alpha}_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n)$, $B(C^{\alpha}_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n), id, |\pi|^s) = G_s$ for $s \ge 1$ and $1 \le t$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$. Therefore choose Ψ such that $g_jG_s \cap g_iG_s = \emptyset$ for each $i \ne j \in \Psi$, hence $g_i^{-1}g_j \notin G_s$ for each $i \ne j$.

The minimal group $gr(\bigcup_{j\in\Psi} g_jG_s) = Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ and it is contained in the minimal algebraic group $gr(\bigcup_{j\in\Psi} g_jG^w_s) =: G^w$. Supply the latter group with the uniformity $\mathcal{T}_w$ induced from the base of neighborhoods of e in G^w_s with the help of left shifts. Then the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_w$ on G^w_s coincides with that of $\mathcal{T}_w$ in Theorem 8. Since G^w_s is clopen in G^w and G^w_s is complete, then for each Cauchy net $\{h_q : q \in \nu\}$ in G^w, where ν is an ordered set, there exists q_0 such that for each $q, l \ge q_0$ there is the inclusion $h_q^{-1}h_l \in G^w_s$, hence $\{h_{q_0}^{-1}h_l : l > q_0\}$ converges in G^w_s to some $g_s \in G^w_s$, since G^w_s is complete, consequently, $\{h_q : q \in \nu\}$ converges in G^w to $h_{q_0}g_s \in G^w$ and inevitably G^w is complete (see also Theorem 8.3.20 [11]). This G^w is the desired non-archimedean completion.

4 Example of the group of diffeomorphisms.

This section contains the example of the group of diffeomorphisms. It illustrates the general theory. For the group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbf{Z_p}$ of class C^t, where $0 \le t \le \infty$, formulas for expansion coefficients in the Mahler base of compositions $g \circ f$ and inverse elements f^{-1} of diffeomorphisms f and g are found.

Let C^t be a class of smoothness of functions $f : M \to \mathbf{K}$ as in §1 (see also [1, 39, 21, 22]), where M is a Banach manifold over a complete (as an uniform space) non-Archimedean infinite field $\mathbf{K}$ with non-trivial valuation and of zero characteristic $char(\mathbf{K}) = 0$. Suppose that $L_bf(x) := f(x + b)$ is a shift operator, $\Delta_bf(x) := (L_b - I)f(x)$ is a difference operator, $L^0 = I$, $\Delta^0 = I$, $L := L_1$, $\Delta := \Delta_1$, where $x, b \in \mathbf{K}$. For a product of two functions $f, g : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}$ there are formulas:

(1) $\Delta[f(x)g(x)] = (\Delta f)(x)(Lg)(x) + f(x)(\Delta g)(x)$ and

(2) $L\Delta = \Delta L$. Therefore,

$\Delta^k[f(x)g(x)] = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}[\Delta^j f(x)]L^j[\Delta^{k-j}g(x)]$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, consequently,

(3) $\Delta^k f_1(x)...f_n(x) = \sum_{k_1+...+k_n=k}(k!/(k_1!...k_n!))[\Delta^{k_1}f_1(x)]L^{k_1}[\Delta^{k_2}f_2(x)]L^{k_2}...$ $[\Delta^{k_{n-1}}f_{n-1}(x)]L^{k_{n-1}}[\Delta^{k_n}f_n(x)]$, where L^{k_j} acts on all functions situated on the right from it.

If $f \in C^t(\mathbf{Z_p}, \mathbf{Q_p})$, then there is its expansion in the Mahler base $\binom{x}{n}$ as a series:

(4) $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty f_j \binom{x}{j}$, where $f_j \in \mathbf{Q_p}$,

(5) $\lim_{j\to\infty} |f_j| j^t = 0$ for $0 \le t < \infty$ and

(6) $f_j = [\Delta^j f(x)]|_{x=0}$, since

(7) $\Delta\binom{x}{j} = \binom{x}{j-1}$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$, $\binom{x}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{x}{m} := 0$ for each $0 > m \in \mathbf{Z}$ (see §52 [39], [35] and [22]). Therefore,

(8) $(g \circ f)_k = \sum_n g_n \Delta^k \binom{\sum_{m=0}^\infty f_m \binom{x}{m}}{n}|_{x=0}$. Since

(9) $\binom{x}{n} = x(x-1)...(x-n+1)/n!$, then

(10) $\Delta^k\left(\binom{\binom{f(x)}{m}}{n}\right) = \sum_{k_1+...+k_n=k}(k!/(k_1!...k_n!))[\Delta^{k_1}\binom{f(x)}{m}]L^{k_1}[\Delta^{k_2}(\binom{f(x)}{m}-1)]L^{k_2}...[\Delta^{k_{n-1}}(\binom{f(x)}{m}-n+2)]L^{k_{n-1}}[\Delta^{k_n}(\binom{f(x)}{m}-n+1)]/n!$, where

(11) $\Delta^k\binom{f(x)}{m} = m^{-1}\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l}[\Delta^l L^{k-l}\binom{f(x)}{m-1} - \delta_{l,0}(m-1)]$. Since

(12) $\Delta^l L^{k-l} f(x)|_{x=0} = \sum_{m=0}^\infty f_m \Delta^l \binom{x+k-l}{m}|_{x=0} = \sum_m f_m \binom{k-l}{m-l}$, then

$$(13)\ \Delta^k\left(\binom{\binom{f(x)}{m}}{n}\right)|_{x=0} = (n!)^{-1} \sum_{l_1+...+l_n=k} \binom{k}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{l_2}...\binom{k-l_1-...-l_{n-2}}{l_{n-1}}$$

$$[\sum_{m=0}^\infty f_m \binom{k-l_1}{m-l_1} - \delta_{l_1,0}(n-1)][\sum_{m=0}^\infty f_m \binom{k-l_1-l_2}{m-l_2} - \delta_{l_2,0}(n-2)]...$$

$$[\sum_{m=0}^\infty f_m \binom{k-l_1-...-l_{n-1}}{m-l_{n-1}} - \delta_{l_{n-1},0}]f_{l_n},$$

so that it is necessary to evaluate coefficients

$$(14)\ \Omega^{k,n}_{m_1,...,m_n} := \sum_{l_1+...+l_{n-1}=k-m_n} \binom{k}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{l_2}...\binom{k-l_1-...-l_{n-2}}{l_{n-1}}\binom{k-l_1}{m_1-l_1}$$

$$\binom{k-l_1-l_2}{m_2-l_2}..\binom{k-l_1-...-l_{n-1}}{m_{n-1}-l_{n-1}}.$$

There are identities:

(15) $\binom{m}{l} = (-1)^l \binom{l-m-1}{l}$,

(16) $(1+x)^e(1+(1+x)^a)^b = \sum \binom{b}{c}\binom{ac+e}{d} x^d$, such that for $e = b$ and $a = -1$ this gives

$$(17)\ \sum_{l_1,l_2} \binom{k-l_1}{m_1-l_1}\binom{k-l_1-l_2}{m_2-l_2}\binom{k}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{l_2} =$$

$$\sum_{l_1} \binom{k-l_1}{m_1-l_1}\binom{k}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{m_2}2^{m_2} =$$

$$\binom{k}{m_1}\sum_{l_1}\binom{m_1}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{m_2}2^{m_2}, \text{ since}$$

$$\sum_l \binom{b-l}{m-l}\binom{b}{l} = \sum_l b!/[(m-l)!(b-m+l)!l!].$$

General expressions are too complicated, but it is suffucient to construct a generating function for these coefficients:

$$(18)\ y_1^{m_1}...y_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}y_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}+m_n}(x_1y_2...y_n+(y_1+z_2)(x_2y_3...y_{n-1}+(y_2+z_3)(x_3y_4...y_{n-1}+$$

$$(y_3+z_4)(x_4y_5...y_{n-1}+...))...)^k=$$

$$y_1^{m_1}...y_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}y_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}+m_n}\sum_{l_1}\binom{k}{l_1}(x_1y_2...y_{n-1})^{l_1}(y_1+z_2)^{k-l_1}(x_2y_3...y_{n-1}+(y_2+z_3)(x_3y_4...y_{n-1}+$$

$$(y_3+z_4)(x_4y_5...y_{n-1}...+(x_{n-1}+y_{n-1})...)^{k-l_1}=$$

$$y_2^{m_2}...y_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}y_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}+m_n}\sum_{l_1,q_1,l_2}\binom{k}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{q_1-l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{l_2}(x_1y_2...y_{n-1})^{l_1}y_1^{k-q_1+m_1}z_2^{q_1-l_1}$$

$$(x_2y_3...y_{n-1})^{l_2}(y_2+z_3)^{k-l_1-l_2}(x_3y_3...y_{n-1}+(y_3+...)...)^{k-l_1-l_2}=$$

$$y_3^{m_3}...y_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}y_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}+m_n}\sum_{l_1,q_1,l_2,q_2}\binom{k}{l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{q_1-l_1}\binom{k-l_1}{l_2}\binom{k-l_1-l_2}{q_2-l_2}(x_1y_3...y_{n-1})^{l_1}y_1^{k+m_1-q_1}$$

$$z_2^{q_1-l_1}(x_2y_3...y_{n-1})^{l_2}y_2^{k+m_2-q_2}z_3^{q_2-l_2}(x_3y_4...y_{n-1}+(y_3+z_4(x_4y_5...y_{n-1}+...)...)^{k-l_1-l_2}=....$$

In this series coefficients in front of $x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}}(y_1...y_{n-1})^k$ are equal to $\Omega^{k,n}_{m_1,...,m_n}$, where $x_j=z_{j+1}$ for each $j=1,...,n-1$, x_j and y_j are variables. Therefore, the generating function has the form:

$$(19)\ y_1^{m_1}...y_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}y_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}+m_n}(x_1y_2...y_n+(x_1+y_1)(x_2y_3...y_{n-1}+(x_2+y_2)(x_3y_4...y_{n-1}+$$

$$(x_3+y_3)(x_4y_5...y_{n-1}...+(x_{n-1}+y_{n-1})...)^k=$$

$$\sum_{m_1,...,m_{n-1}}\Omega^{k,n}_{m_1,...,m_n}x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}...x_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}}(y_1...y_{n-1})^k+$$

$$\sum_{m_1,q_1,...,m_{n-1},q_{n-1}}\Upsilon^{k,n}_{m_1,q_1,...,m_{n-1},q_{n-1}}x_1^{q_1}x_2^{q_2}...x_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}\times$$

$$y_1^{k+m_1-q_1}...y_{n-2}^{k+m_{n-2}-q_{n-2}}y_{n-1}^{k+m_{n-1}-q_{n-1}+m_n},$$

where coefficients $\Upsilon^{k,n}_{m_1,q_1,...,m_{n-1},q_{n-1}}$ are given by Equation (18).

In particular $id=f^{-1}\circ f$ and $\Delta^k id(x)|_{x=0}=\delta_{k,1}$, hence

(21) $\delta_{k,1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n!)^{-1}(f^{-1})_nQ^{k,n}(f)$, where coefficients $Q^{k,n}$ are given by Equations $(8,13,14,19)$, that is

$$(22)\ Q^{k,n}(f)=\sum_{m_1,...,m_n}\sum_{i_1<...<i_{n-p};p}\Omega^{k,n}_{m_{i_1},...,m_{i_{n-p}}}f_{m_{i_1}}...f_{m_{i_{n-p}}}$$

$$\prod_{(j_s\in(1,...,n)\setminus\{i_1,...,i_{n-p}\};j_1<j_2<...;l_{j_1}=0,...,l_{j_{p-1}}=0)}(j_s-n)\binom{k-l_1-...-l_{s-1}}{m_s}.$$

For analytic functions there are equalities:

$$(23)\ \Delta^k x^n = \sum_{l_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{l_1} \Delta^{k-1} x^{l_1} =$$

$$\sum_{l_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{l_1-1} ... \sum_{l_k=0}^{l_{k-1}-1} \binom{n}{l_1}\binom{l_1}{l_2} ... \binom{l_{k-1}}{l_k} x^{l_k}, \text{ consequently,}$$

$$(24)\ (\Delta^k x^n)_{x=0} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{l_1-1} ... \sum_{l_{k-1}=0}^{l_{k-2}-1} \binom{n}{l_1}\binom{l_1}{l_2} ... \binom{l_{k-2}}{l_{k-1}} =: T_{n,k}.$$

On the other hand,

(25) $\binom{x}{m} = (m!)^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m} x^{m-l} (-1)^l \alpha_l(1, ..., m-1) =$ $\sum_l S_{m,l} x^l$, where

(26) $\alpha_l(z_1, ..., z_m) := \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_l} z_{i_1} ... z_{i_l}$, consequently,

(27) $\sum_l S_{m,l} T_{l,j} = \delta_{m,j}$ and

(28) $\sum_l T_{m,l} S_{l,j} = \delta_{m,j}$. Then for analytic g and f:

(29) $g(x) = \sum_m a_m(g) x^m$ and

$$(30)\ (g \circ f)(x) = \sum_{m, l_j, k_j, n} a_m(g)[m!/(l_1! ... l_m!)] a_{k_1}^{l_1}(f) ... a_{k_m}^{l_m}(f)] x^n,$$

where in the last series $k_1 l_1 + ... + k_m l_m = n$, $l_1 + ... + l_m = m$, $0 \le l_j, k_j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

For estimations of $\Omega^{k,n}_{m_1,...,m_n} f_{m_1} ... f_{m_n}$ it can be used

(31) $|\binom{k}{l}|_p = p^{-\lambda(k)+\lambda(q)+\lambda(k-q)}$, where

(32) $\lambda(n) = (n - s_n)/(p-1)$, $n = a_0 + a_1 p + ... + a_j p^j$, $s_n := a_0 + a_1 + ... + a_j$, where $a_i \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$, $\lambda(q) + \lambda(k-q) - \lambda(k) = (s_k - s_q - s_{k-q})/(p-1)$ (see also §25 in [39] and [35]).

5 One-parameter subgroups of diffeomorphism groups.

1. Theorem. *If M is a compact manifold over a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ of characteristic $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 1$, $1 \le t \in \mathbf{N}$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$, then there exists a clopen subgroup W in $Diff^\alpha(M)$ such that each element $g \in W$ lies on a local one-parameter subgroup g^x continuous by x relative to the multiplicative group $(\mathbf{K}^*, \times)$ with $x \in \mathbf{K}^*$.*

Proof. Since M is compact, then M is finite dimensional $dim_{\mathbf{K}} M = n$ over $\mathbf{K}$ and the manifold M can be supplied with a finite disjoint analytic atlas, that is, with disjoint clopen charts a finite union of which covers M. Therefore, there exists a natural C^α embedding of M into $\mathbf{K}^n$ as the clopen subset. As it was proved above $Diff^\alpha(M)$ is mertizable with the left-invariant metric ρ^α. Choose $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and the clopen subgroup $W := \{g \in Diff^\alpha(M) : \rho^\alpha(g, id) < |\pi|^{k_0}\}$ such that $g \in W$ implies $\|g - id\|_{C^\alpha_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n)} < |\pi|^{k_0}$.

Consider $x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$, where $1 \le s \in \mathbf{N}$, then $|x| = 1$, since $|x-1| \le |\pi|^s$ and due to the ultrametric inequality. If $g \in W$, then for the proving of this theorem it is necessary to find a one-parameter local subgroup $\{g^x : x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)\}$ satisfying Conditions $(1-3)$:

(1) $g^1 = id$;

(2) $g^{x_1} g^{x_2} = g^{x_1 x_2}$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$;

(3) $g^{x_0} = g$ for some $x_0 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$.

Each $g \in Diff^\alpha(M)$ has the form $g = (g_1, ..., g_n)$, where $g_j : M \to \mathbf{K}$ for each $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Since M is embedded as the compact subset in $\mathbf{K}^n$, then its covering by balls $B(\mathbf{K}^n, x, r) \subset M$ has a finite subcovering $\{B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_j, r_j) : j = 1, ..., m\}$, where $x \in M$, $0 < r_j < \infty$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, consequently, $\min_{j=1,...,m} r_j = r > 0$.

If g^{x_1} and f^{x_2} are two commuting local one-parameter subgroups for each $x_1, x_2 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$ in $Diff^\alpha(M)$, then $g^x f^x =: (gf)^x$ is a local one-parameter subgroup in $Diff^\alpha(M)$, since $(gf)^{x_1} (gf)^{x_2} = (g^{x_1} f^{x_1})(g^{x_2} f^{x_2}) = g^{x_1} g^{x^2} f^{x_1} f^{x_2} = g^{x_1 x_2} f^{x_1 x_2} = (gf)^{x_1 x_2}$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$, also $g^1 = f^1 = e = id$. For example, if $supp(g^x) \subset A$ and $supp(f^x) \subset C$ for each $x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$ such that $A \cap C = \emptyset$, where A and C are closed subsets in M, $supp(g) := cl_M\{y \in M : g(y) \ne y\}$, $cl_M(V)$ denotes the closure of a subset V in M, then $g^{x_1} f^{x_2} = f^{x_2} g^{x_1}$ for each $x_1 \ne x_2 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$. On the other hand, each $g \in Diff^\alpha(M)$ can be decomposed into the product $g = h_1 ... h_m$, where $supp(h_j) \subset B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_j, r_j)$ for each $j = 1, ..., m$. Therefore, the proof of this theorem reduces to the case $Diff^\alpha(B)$, where B is a clopen compact ball in $\mathbf{K}^n$, since W decomposes into the internal direct product of its subgroups $W_j := \{g \in W : supp(g) \subset B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_j, r_j)\}$ and W_j has the natural embedding into $Diff^\alpha(B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_j, r_j))$ for each $j = 1, ..., m$. For $g = id$ put $id^x = id$ for each $x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$. Therefore, due to Equations 3.8$(1, 2)$ it remains the case of $g \ne id$ in W, consequently, $x_0 \ne 1$ for such g.

In accordance with Lemma 7.6 [15] if $\mathbf{K}$ is a field and G is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of $\mathbf{K}$, then G is a cyclic group. Then by Theorem 7.b the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of a finite field is cyclic. In view of the Wedderburn Theorem 7.c a finite division ring is necessarily a commutative field [15]. The field $\mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic with $\mathbf{F}_{p^u}(\theta)$, where $\mathbf{F}_{p^u}$ is the finite field consisting of p^u elements, $u \in \mathbf{N}$. The finite field $\mathbf{F}_{p^u}$ is the splitting field of the polynomial $x^{p^u} - x$. Thus $\chi : \mathbf{K}^* \to \mathbf{K}^*$ is a continuous multiplicative character, where $\mathbf{K}^* = \mathbf{K} \setminus \{0\}$, if and only if it can be written in the form $\chi(x) = \phi(x)\psi(x)$, where ϕ and ψ are continuous multiplicative characters such that $\phi : \mathbf{F}^*_{p^u} \to \mathbf{F}^*_{p^u}$ is some homomorphism of the multiplicative group of $\mathbf{F}_{p^u}$ and $\phi(\theta) = \theta$, $\psi(\theta) = \theta^k$ for some nonnegative integer k and the restriction of ψ on $\mathbf{F}_{p^u}$ is the identity mapping, since there exists the natural embedding of $\mathbf{F}_{p^u}$ into $\mathbf{K}$.

Therefore, $|\chi(\theta)| = |\theta|^k$. Denote by Ω the family of all continuous multiplicative characters $\chi : \mathbf{K}^* \to \mathbf{K}^*$. In particular, $\chi_1(x) = 1$ and $\chi_{id}(x) = x$ for each in $x \in \mathbf{K}^*$ are the trivial character and the identity character respectively.

If g^x is a local one-parameter subgroup in $Diff^t(M)$, then $g^{\chi(x)}$ is also a local one-parameter subgroup in $Diff^\alpha(M)$. If $g^{x_0} = g$, then $g^z = g$ for each $\chi(z) = x_0$ with $\chi \in \Omega$, since $\chi(1) = 1$. Therefore, if $x_0 \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$ is a marked point, then it is sufficient to satisfy Condition (3) for some $x \in V_s := \{\chi^{-1}(x_0) : \chi \in \Omega\} \cap B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$, where $x_0 \ne 1$ and $x \ne 1$ for $g \ne 1$. Thus, if each $h_j \ne id$ in W_j has $x_0(h_j) \in V_s$, then the desired local one-parameter subgroup g^x will be found for $id \ne g = h_1 ... h_m \in W$. Take, for example, $x_0 = 1 + \theta^s$.

We say that a family A of functions $f : X \to \mathbf{K}$ separate points of X if for each $x \ne y \in X$ there exists $f \in A$ such that $f(x) \ne f(y)$. If G is a cyclic group of order k and $a \in G$ is such that $\{1, a, a^2, ..., a^{k-1}\} = G$ and m is a natural number mutually prime with k, $(m, k) = 1$, then $\zeta : G \to G$ such that $\zeta(a^l) = a^{lm}$ for each $l = 0, ..., k-1$ is the automorphism of G, in particular, for $k = p^u - 1$. Since the multiplicative group $G = \mathbf{F}^*_{p^u}$ is cyclic, then the family of all ϕ separate points of G, hence the family Ω of all continuous multiplicative characters χ of $\mathbf{K}^*$ separate points of $\mathbf{K}^*$.

In view of the Kaplansky theorem a subalgebra A of $C^0(X, \mathbf{K})$ containing all constant functions and separating points of a locally compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space X is dense in $C^0(X, \mathbf{K})$ [18, 3]. From [1] it follows, that $C^0(M, \mathbf{K}^n)$ has the polynomial basis $\{Q_{\bar{m}}(y) e_i : \bar{m} \in \mathbf{N_0}^n, i = 1, ..., n\}$ with $N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $e_i = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...) \in \mathbf{K}^n$ with 1 on the i-th place.

In view of the proof above up to the affine C^∞ diffeomorphism it is sufficient to consider W for $Diff^t(B)$, where $B = B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, 1)$. We seek a solution in the form

(4) $g^x_j(y) = y_j + \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_{j,k}(y, x)$

for each $j = 1, ..., n$ with the converging series of functions $b_{j,k}(y, x) : M \times B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s) \to \mathbf{K}$ of class C^t by $y \in M$ and continuous by $x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$ for each $j = 1, ..., n$ such that $\|b_{j,k}\|_{C^t_b(B,\mathbf{K})} < |\pi|^{k_0}$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \max_{j=1,...,n} \|b_{j,k}\|_{C^t(B,\mathbf{K})} = 0$, where $g = (g_1, ..., g_n)$. Each function $b_{j,k}(y, x)$ has the decomposition

(5) $b_{j,k}(y, x) = \chi_{j,k}(x) \sum_{\bar{m}\in\mathbf{N_0}^n} c_{j,k,\bar{m}} Q_{\bar{m}}(y)$,

where $\chi_{j,k}(x) \in \Omega$, $y \in B$, $x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$, $c_{j,k,\bar{m}} \in \mathbf{K}$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $|\chi_{j,k}(x)| \le 1$ on $B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$ for each j, k, m, since B is the unit ball and $s \ge 1$. The convergence of Series (4) is equivalent to:

(6) $\lim_{k+|\bar{m}|\to\infty} \max_{j=1,...,n} \|c_{j,k,\bar{m}} Q_{\bar{m}}(y)\|_{C^\alpha_b(M,\mathbf{K})} \|\chi_{j,k}(x)\|_{C^0_b(B(\mathbf{K},1,|\pi|^s),\mathbf{K})} = 0$,

where $|\bar{m}| := m_1 + ... + m_n$, $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$. Then Condition (1) is equivalent to

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{j,k,\bar{m}} = 0$ for each $\bar{m}$ and j, while Condition (3) is equivalent to $\sum_{\bar{m}} c_{j,\bar{m}} Q_{\bar{m}}(y) = g_j(y) - y_j$ with $c_{j,\bar{m}} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{j,k,\bar{m}} \chi_{j,k}(x_0)$, since $\chi_{j,k}(1) = 1$, $\{Q_{\bar{m}}(y) : \bar{m} \in \mathbf{N_0}^n\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{K}$.

Consider two monotone increasing sequences $\{s_v \in \mathbf{N} : v \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{q_v \in \mathbf{N} : v \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $q_v \ge s_v$ for each v. For each $q > l \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists the quotient algebraic homomorphism of rings $\pi_l^q : B(\mathbf{K},0,1)/B(\mathbf{K},0,|\pi|^q) \to B(\mathbf{K},0,1)/B(\mathbf{K},0,|\pi|^l)$ and $\pi_q : B(\mathbf{K},0,1) \to B(\mathbf{K},0,1)/B(\mathbf{K},0,|\pi|^q)$ such that $\pi_l^q \circ \pi_q = \pi_l$. These algebraic homomorphisms induce natural homomorphisms of functions in the same notation such that $\pi_q^*(g) =: {}_q g$, where ${}_q g \in Hom(M_q)$, $M_q = \pi_q(M)$ is a finite set, $g \in W \subset Diff^t(B)$, ${}_q g : M_q \to M_q$ is a bijective surjective mapping of M_q onto M_q (see Formulas 3.2(4$-$7)). Then also $\pi_{s_v}(B(\mathbf{K}^*,1,|\pi|^s))$ is the finite set for $s_v > s$. For $s_v > 1$ we have that $\pi_{s_v}(\mathbf{K})$ is the discrete commutative ring (see §3.1). If $x \in \mathbf{K}$ and $|x| = 1$, then $|x^{-1}| = 1$. The multiplicative norm in $\mathbf{K}$ induces the norm in $\pi_{s_v}(\mathbf{K})$. If $|x-1| < 1$, then $x^{-1} = (1+(x-1))^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(1-x)^l$, thus $\pi_{s_v}(x)$ has the inverse in $\pi_{s_v}(B(\mathbf{K},1,|\pi|^s))$, when $|x-1| \le |\pi|^s$, $s \ge 1$, since $\pi_{s_v}((x-1)^l) = 0$ for $ls \ge s_v$. Then $1 \in \pi_{s_v}(B(\mathbf{K},1,|\pi|^s)$ and $\pi_{s_v}(x_1x_2) = \pi_{s_v}(x_1)\pi_{s_v}(x_2) \in \pi_{s_v}(B(\mathbf{K},1,|\pi|^s))$ for each $x_1, x_2 \in B(\mathbf{K},1,|\pi|^s)$, since $|x_1x_2 - 1| \le \max(|x_1-1||x_2|, |x_2-1|) \le |\pi|^s$ and $|x_2| = 1$. Thus, $B(\mathbf{F}_{p^u},1,|\pi|^s)$ for a natural number $s \ge 1$ is the multiplicative commutative group and $B(\mathbf{F}_{p^u},1,|\pi|^{s_1})/B(\mathbf{F}_{p^u},1,|\pi|^{s_2})$ for each natural numbers $1 \le s_1 < s_2$ is the finite multiplicative commutative quotient group.

If $g \in W$ and $k_0 \ge 1$, then $|g(y) - y| \le \|g - id\|_{C^1}|y| \le |\pi|^{k_0}|y|$ and $|g(y_1) - g(y_2)| \le \|g\|_{C^1}|y_1 - y_2|$ and $|g^{-1}(y_1) - g^{-1}(y_2)| \le \|g^{-1}\|_{C^1}|y_1 - y_2|$, since $t \ge 1$, consequently, g is the isometry, that is, $|g(y)| = |y|$ for each $y \in M$.

For given s and s_1 choose k_0 and $q_1 \ge s_1$ such that for each $g \in W$ we have ${}_{q_1}g = id$ on M_{q_1}. Then for a given $g \in W$ there exists the algebraic homomorphism η_1 from $\pi_{s_1}(B(\mathbf{K},1,|\pi|^s))$ into $\pi_{q_1}(W)$ satisfying Conditions $(1-3)$ with $\pi_{s_1}(x_0)$ instead of x_0. Each $g \in W$ and each $x \in \mathbf{K}$ is the projective limit of the inverse sequences $g = pr-\lim\{ {}_{q_v}g, \pi_{q_l}^{q_v}, \Lambda_{q_1}\}$ and $x = pr-\lim\{ {}_{s_v}x, \pi_{s_l}^{s_v}, \mathbf{N}\}$, since sequences $\{q_v\}$ and $\{s_v\}$ are cofinal with $\mathbf{N}$, where $v \ge l \in \mathbf{N}$ (see also §2.5 [11]). For example, we can take $s_{v+1} = s_v + 1$ for each $v \in \mathbf{N}$. Consider ${}_{q_{v+1}}g$, then $\pi_{q_v}^{q_{v+1}}({}_{q_{v+1}}g) = {}_{q_v}g$. Each ${}_{q_v}g \in Hom(M_{q_v})$ is the element of the symmetric group S_{b_v}, where b_v is the cardinality of the finite set $\pi_{q_v}(M) = M_{q_v}$ (see also Theorem 3.6 above). Thus, ${}_{q_v}g$ has a decomposition into a product of nonintersecting finite cycles. If $y_v \in M_{q_v}$, then the cardinality of each $(\pi_{q_v}^{q_{v+1}})^{-1}(y_v)$ is the same for each $y_v \in M_{q_v}$. The homomorphism ${}_{q_{v+1}}g$ on each subset $(\pi_{q_v}^{q_{v+1}})^{-1}(y_v)$ acts as the isometry. Then each cycle of ${}_{q_v}g$ splits into the product of cycles or becomes a cycle of greater length for ${}_{q_{v+1}}g$. Moreover, ${}_{q_{v+1}}g$ is the product of two homomorphisms h and f, where

$f((\pi^{q_{v+1}}_{q_v})^{-1}(y_v)) = (\pi^{q_{v+1}}_{q_v})^{-1}(y_v)$ for each $y_v \in M_{q_v}$ and $\pi^{q_{v+1}}_{q_v}(f) = \pi^*_{q_v}(id)$ is the identity on $\pi_{q_v}(M)$, while $h(z_{v+1}) = h(y_{v+1,0}) + (z_{v+1} - y_{v+1,0})$ with a marked $y_{v+1,0} \in (\pi^{q_{v+1}}_{q_v})^{-1}(y_v)$ and for each $z_{v+1} \in (\pi^{q_{v+1}}_{q_v})^{-1}(y_v)$.

If $\sigma \in \Sigma_l$ and σ is a cycle of length u, then its algebraic order is u, that is, the cyclic group $\{\sigma^a : a \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is of order u. On the step v there is the commutative local subgroup $\,_{q_v}g^{x_v} = \eta_v(x_v)$ for each $x_v \in \pi_{s_v}(B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s))$. Therefore, on the $v+1$-th step choose q_{v+1} sufficiently large such that a number of nonintersecting cycles $\sigma_{v,j}$ or their length are sufficiently large in the sense that a commutative subgroup of $\pi_{q_{v+1}}(W)$ generated by finite products of $\sigma^{b_j}_{v,j}$, $b_j \in \mathbf{N}$, is of sufficiently large order that to provide the algebraic homomorphism η_{v+1} from $\pi_{s_{v+1}}(B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s))$ into $\pi_{q_{v+1}}(W)$ satisfying Conditions $(1-3)$ with $\pi_{q_{v+1}}(x_0)$ instead of x_0 and $\pi^{s_{v+1}}_{s_v}(\eta_{v+1}) = \eta_v$.

In accordance with Lemma 2.5.10 [11] if $\{\phi, f_{j'}\}$ is a mapping of an inverse system $S = \{X_j, \pi^j_i, \Psi\}$ into an inverse system $S' = \{Y_{j'}, \pi^{j'}_{i'}, \Psi'\}$ and all $f_{j'}$ are homeomorphisms, then the limit mapping $f = pr - \lim\{\phi, f_{j'}\}$ is also the homeomorphism of $X = pr - \lim S$ onto $Y = pr - \lim S'$, where $\phi : \Psi' \to \Psi$ is a nondecreasing function, Ψ' and Ψ are directed sets.

Using the same notation which can not cause a confusion we mention the following. Each this induction step gives the corresponding solution of $(4-6)$ with $\eta_v(\pi_{s_v}(x)) \in \pi_{q_v}(B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s))$ and $\pi_{q_v}(y)$ and $\pi_{q_v}(c_{j,k,\bar{m}})$, $\pi^*_{q_v}(Q_{\bar{m}}(y))$ and $\pi_{s_v}(\chi_{j,k}(x)) \in \pi_{q_v}(B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s))$ instead of $x, y, c_{j,k,\bar{m}}$, $Q_{\bar{m}}(y)$ and $\chi_{j,k}(x)$ respectively. If $f \in C^\alpha_b(M, \mathbf{K}^n)$ and $\|f - id\|_{C^\alpha_b(M,\mathbf{K}^n)} < 1$, then $f \in Diff^\alpha(M)$. We say that a subset A in M is an ϵ-net, if for each $y \in M$ there exists $z \in A$ such that $|z - y| < \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon < \infty$. We have $\lim_{v\to\infty} |\pi|^v = 0$ and representatives of M_{q_v} in M form a ρ^v net for suitable subsequence q_v, which is denoted by the same notation, where $0 < \rho < 1$ is a constant and ρ is a parameter characterizing a net A_{q_v} corresponding to $\{Q_{\bar{m}} : \bar{m} \in \mathbf{N_0}^n\}$ for $m_j \le q_v$ for each $j = 1, ..., n$, where each $z \in A_{q_v}$ is a zero of $Q_{\bar{m}}$ as soon as $m_j \ge m_{j,0}$ for some $j = 1, ..., n$, where $\bar{m}_0 := (m_{1,0}, ..., m_{n,0})$ corresponds to z. On the other hand, $|\chi_{j,k,m}(x)| \le 1$ on $B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$ for each j, k, m, moreover, $x_0 = 1 + \theta^s$, $|x_0| = 1$. Then the corresponding to it series converges, since $\,_{q_v}g^{\eta_v(x_v)} \in \pi^*_{q_v}(W)$ for each $x_v \in \pi_{s_v}(B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s))$ and W is complete relative to the C^α_b uniformity. Thus, the inverse sequence of $\,_{q_v}g^{\eta_v(\pi_{s_v}(x))}$ converges to a local multiplicative continuous one-parameter subgroup $g^x(y) \in W$ relative to the $C^\alpha_b \times C^0_b$ uniformity which is C^α_b by $y \in M$ and C^0_b by $x \in B(\mathbf{K}, 1, |\pi|^s)$.

2. Theorem. *If M is a manifold on the Banach space $X := c_0(\gamma_X, \mathbf{K})$ with a finite atlas and charts with bounded $\phi_j(U_j)$ in X for each $j \in \Lambda_M$, where $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 1$, then in $Diff^\alpha_b(M)$ in each neighborhood of id there are $g \ne id$ which does not belong to any* *non-trivial one-parameter subgroup* g^y *relative to the additive group* $(\mathbf{K}, +)$*. Moreover, if* M *is embedded into* X *and*

(i) $(h - h \circ g^{-1})$, $(h - h \circ g^{-1}) \circ g^2$,...,$(h - h \circ g^{-1}) \circ g^{p-1}$ *are* $\mathbf{K}$*-linearly independent and* $g \ne id$*, where* $h := g - id$*, then* g *does not belong to any one-parameter subgroup* $\{g^y : y \in (\mathbf{K}, +)\}$.

Proof. From the conditions of this theorem it follows, that M has a C^t embedding as an open bounded subset in X. In view of Theorem 2.9 the group $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ is metrizable. Since $Diff^{\beta}_b(M)$ is everywhere dense in $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ for each $\beta > \alpha$, then it is sufficient to consider the case $t \ge 1$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$. If $g \in Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$, then up to a diffeomorphism of manifolds $g|_U \in Diff^{\alpha}_b(U)$ for any U open in M, since $g : U \to g(U) \subset M$. Let $U \subset M$ be a clopen bounded subset such that $U \subset U_j$ for some $j \in \Lambda_M$, $g \in Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$ and $supp(g) \subset U$, then $g|_U \in Diff^{\alpha}_b(U)$. Take in particular $U := \phi_j^{-1}(B(X, 0, |\pi|))$, where $\pi \in \mathbf{K}$, $0 < |\pi| < 1$. Thus, if prove theorem for $U = B(X, 0, |\pi|)$, then it will be also true for $Diff^{\alpha}_b(M)$.

Consider in $Diff^{\alpha}_b(U)$ a left-invariant metric ρ^{α} (see Theorem 2.9). Take $id \ne g \in W := \{f \in Diff^{\alpha}_b(U) : \rho^{\alpha}(f, e) \le |\pi|\}$, then $g = id + h$, where $0 < \|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)} \le |\pi|$. If we consider p^n as the element of $\mathbf{K}$, where $n \in \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, ...\}$, then $p^n = 0 \in \mathbf{K}$, since $char(\mathbf{K}) = p$. So we need to have $g^{p^n} = g^0 = id$ on U, where $g^1 = g$, $g^{k+1} = g^k g^1$,...,$g^{p^n} = g^{p^n - 1} g^1$. Consider $(1 + a)^k = \sum_{s=0}^{l} \binom{k}{s} a^s$, where $a \in \mathbf{K}$, then $(1 + a)^{p^n} = 1 + a^{p^n}$ and inevitably $|(1 + a)^{p^n}| = |1 + a^{p^n}|$, since each binomial coefficient $\binom{p^n}{l}$ is divisible on p for each $1 \le l \le p^n - 1$ and hence $\binom{p^n}{l}$ is equal to zero in $\mathbf{K}$. Then $(x_i + a x_i^l) \circ (x_i + a x_i^l) = x_i + a x_i^l + a \sum_{s=0}^{l} \binom{l}{s} x_i^{l+(l-1)s} a^s$ and so on. In particular, $(x_i + a x_i^p) \circ (x_i + a x_i^p) = x_i + 2a x_i^p + x_i^{p^2} a^{p+1}$ for $l = p$ and so on. This shows, that elements of the form $g(x) = id(x) + a x_i^l e_i$ with $a \ne 0$ and $1 < l \in \mathbf{N}$ can not lie on any one-parameter subgroups, since $g^p \ne id$.

Demonstrate in general for M embedded into X, that each $id \ne g \in W$ satisfying Condition (i) does not belong to any one-parameter subgroup. On the other hand, $g^2(x) = g \circ g(x) = id \circ (id + h)(x) + h \circ (id + h)(x) = g(x) + h \circ g(x)$, $g^n(x) = g^{n-1}(x) + h \circ g^{n-1}(x)$, consequently, $\rho^{\alpha}(g^n, g^{n-1}) = \rho^{\alpha}(g^2, g) \le |\pi|$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\|h \circ g^{n-1}\|_{C^{\alpha}_b} \le |\pi|$. Then by induction $g^n(x) = g(x) + h \circ g(x) + ... + h \circ g^{n-1}(x)$ for each $n \ge 2$, hence $\rho^{\alpha}(g^n, g) \le |\pi|$. Then $|h(y) - h(x)| \le \|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)} |x - y|$ for each $x, y \in U$, in particular, for $y = g(x)$, where $y - x = g(x) - x = h(x)$, hence $|h \circ g(x) - h(x)| \le \|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)} |h(x)| \le |\pi|^2$. Therefore, $|g^n(x) - g(x) - (n-1)h(x)| \le \|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)} |h(x)|$ for each $x \in U$, where $|h(x)| \le \|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)} |x| \le |\pi||x|$, consequently, $|g^n(x) - g(x) - (n-1)h(x)| \le (\|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)})^2 |x| \le |\pi|^2 |x|$. Thus $|g^{p^k}(x) - id(x)| \le (\|h\|_{C^{\alpha}_b(U,X)})^2 |x|$, since $|p^k h(x)| = 0$. Suppose that $g^{p^k} = id$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then $0 = g^p(x) - id(x) = g^p(x) - g(x) - (p-1)h(x) = h(x) + h \circ g(x) + ... + h \circ g^{p-1}(x) = (h \circ g(x) - h(x)) + ... + (h \circ g^{p-1}(x) - h(x)) = h(x) + p(h \circ g^1(x) - h(x)) + (p-1)(h \circ g^2(x) -$

$h \circ g(x)) + ... + 3(h \circ g^{p-2}(x) - h \circ g^{p-3}(x)) + 2(h \circ g^{p-1}(x) - h \circ g^{p-2}(x)) - h \circ g^{p-1}(x)$, since $ph(x) = 0$ and $p(h \circ g - h)(x) = 0$ identically and $g^{p-1}(x) = g^{-1}(x)$. Therefore, it would be $0 = |h(x) - h \circ g^{-1}(x)|$ on U, since Condition (i) is supposed to be satisfied, that leads to the contradiction, since $h \ne 0$, $g \ne id$, $h \circ g \ne h$. Thus $g^p(x) \ne id(x)$ for each $id \ne g \in W$ satisfying Condition (i), consequently, g does not belong to any one-parameter subgroup $\{g^y : y \in (\mathbf{K}, +)\}$.

2.1. Remark. On the other hand, if $M \supset B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_0, r)$, then $g^y(x) := x + yz$ for $x \in B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_0, r)$ and $g^y(x) := x$ for $x \in M \setminus B(\mathbf{K}^n, x_0, r)$ is the non-trivial one-parameter subgroup for a marked $z \in B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, r)$, where $y \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$, $0 < r$, $x_0 \in M$. Therefore, for each neighborhood W of id there exists $0 \ne z \in B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, r)$ such that $id \ne g \in W$.

6 Topological perfectness of diffeomorphism groups.

1. Definition. A group G is called algebraically perfect, if its commutator group $[G, G]$ coincides with G, where $[G, G]$ is the minimal group generated by all commutators $[f, g] := f^{-1}g^{-1}fg$, $f, g \in G$. A group G is called algebraically simple, if it does not contain any normal subgroup other than $\{e\}$ and G. A topological group G is called topologically perfect if $cl_G[G, G] = G$, G is called topologically simple if it does not contain any closed normal subgroup other than $\{e\}$ or G, where $cl_G V$ denotes the closure of a subset V in G.

2. Remark. It is well known that the symmetric group S_n is perfect for $n \ne 2$ and $n \ne 6$, but it is not simple for $n \ge 3$, since the subgroup A_n consisting of even permutations is its normal subgroup different from $\{e\}$ and S_n [19]. Below the topological perfectness and simplicity of the diffeomorphism group $Diff^\alpha(M)$ is considered relative to its C^α topology.

3. Theorem. *Let M be a compact manifold, $t \ge 0$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$. Then $Diff^\alpha(M)$ supplied with the C^α compact-open topology is topologically perfect.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.6 above and Theorem 2.5 [24] the diffeomorphism group $Diff^\alpha(M)$ algebraically is the projective limit of an inverse sequence $S = \{G_q, \pi^q_s, \mathbf{N}\}$ of finite groups G_q, where G_q is isomorphic with the symmetric group S_b with $b = card(M_q)$, $M_q = \pi_q(M)$. There exists $q_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for each $q > q_0$ the cardinality of M_q is greater than 6, consequently, G_q is perfect for each $q > q_0$, since S_b is perfect with $b = card(M_q)$ by the Hölder Theorem 5.3.1 [19]. Each element $h \in Diff^t(M)$ is decomposable into the thread $\{h_q, \pi^q_s, \mathbf{N}\}$. Every h_q is decomposable as a finite product of commutators in G_q for $q > q_0$. Therefore, the commutator group $[G, G]$ is dense in G (see also Theorem 3.7 above), since the projective limit of a thread of products of commutators is the product of commutators, where $G = Diff^\alpha(M)$.

4. Corollary. *Let M be a locally compact manifold, $t \ge 0$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$. Then the diffeomorphism group $Diff^{\alpha}(M)$ and the group $Diff^{\alpha}_c(M)$ of all C^{α} diffeomorphisms with compact supports supplied with the C^{α} compact-open topology are topologically simple.*

Proof. The group $Diff^{\alpha}_c(M)$ is everywhere dense in $Diff^{\alpha}(M)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove this corollary for $Diff^{\alpha}_c(M)$.

The group $G = Diff^{\alpha}_c(M)$ satisfies the Epstein system of axioms. Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff topological space, G a group of homeomorphisms of X, and $\mathcal{U}$ a basis of open sets for the topology of X. The Epstein axioms are the following:

$(E1)$ if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in G$, then $gU \in \mathcal{U}$;

$(E2)$ G acts transitively on $\mathcal{U}$;

$(E3)$ let $g \in G$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be an open cover of X, then there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g_1, ..., g_m \in G$ and $V_1, ..., V_m \in \mathcal{B}$ such that:

(i) $g = g_m g_{m-1} ... g_1$;

(ii) $supp(g_i) \subset V_i$;

(iii) $supp(g_i) \cup (g_{i-1} ... g_1 cl(U)) \ne X$ for each $i : 1 \le i \le n$.

The manifold M has an C^{α} embedding θ as the clopen subset into either $\mathbf{K}^n$ or a direct topological sum of copies of $\mathbf{K}^n$ such that $\theta(M)$ is a disjoint union of clopen balls, since M is totally disconnected and has a base of topology consisting of clopen compact subsets in M. As $\mathcal{U}$ take a system of all clopen proper subsets U in M for which there exists $g \in G$ such that $\theta(gU)$ is a ball of finite positive radius in $\mathbf{K}^n$. Since M is totally disconnected and modelled on $\mathbf{K}^n$ as the manifold, then $\mathcal{U}$ forms the base of the topology of M.

In particular, for the diffeomorphism group $G = Diff^{\alpha}_c(M)$ and the family $\mathcal{U}$ of $M = X$ we have $gU \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $g \in G$, since $g^{-1}(gU) = U$ and $g : M \to M$ is the continuous bijective epimorphism together with g^{-1}. If $U = M$, then $g(M) = M$. A disjoint clopen covering $\mathcal{C}$ of $\theta(M)$ by balls form a C^{∞} atlas (moreover, it is the analytic atlas) such that if $f|_U$ is of C^{α} class for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$, then f is C^{α} on $\theta(M)$. If $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \ne M$, then $M \setminus U$ is a clopen nonvoid subset in M. Therefore, if U_1 and U_2 are two clopen nonvoid subsets in $\mathcal{U}$ different from M, then there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(U_1) = U_2$, since each two clopen balls in $\mathbf{K}^n$ are analytically diffeomorphic. Thus axioms $(E1, E2)$ are satisfied.

If $g \in G$, then $supp(g) := cl\{x \in M : g(x) \ne x\}$ is compact and for an open covering $\mathcal{B}$ of M there are $V_1, ..., V_m$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $supp(g) \subset V_1 \cup ... \cup V_m$. Suppose that $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $cl(U) \ne M$, where $cl(U)$ denotes the closure of U in M. Since U is clopen in M, then $cl(U) = U$. The topological Tychonoff space M is totally disconnected and locally compact paracompact, since it is modelled on $\mathbf{K}^n$. In view of Theorem 6.2.9 [11] it is strongly zero dimensional. Therefore, $V_1, ..., V_m$ has a refinement $P_1, ..., P_m$ consisting of clopen compact subsets in M such that $supp(g) \subset P_1 \cup ... \cup P_m$. Take $P_0 := \emptyset$ and $W_i := P_i \setminus_{j<i} P_j$, then $W_1, ..., W_m$ is the disjoint clopen covering of $supp(g)$, where $W_i \subset P_i \subset V_i$ for each $i = 1, ..., m$. Therefore, $g(W_1)$,...,$g(W_m)$ is the clopen disjoint covering of $g(supp(g))$. Each $\theta(W_i)$ is a finite union of clopen balls $B_{i,j}$ in $\mathbf{K}^n$. Then put $g_m|_{W_m} = g|_{W_m}$ and $g_m|_{M\setminus W_m} = id$, $g_{m-1}|_{W_{m-1}} = g_m^{-1} g|_{W_{m-1}}$ and $g_{m-1}|_{M\setminus W_{m-1}} = id$, $g_i|_{W_i} = g_{i+1}^{-1}...g_m^{-1} g|_{W_i}$ and $g_i|_{M\setminus W_i} = id$ for each $i = 1, ..., m-2$, hence Conditions (i, ii) of $(E3)$ are satisfied. For each $1 \le k < j < m$ there is the identity $g_j...g_k|_{W_k} = g_j...g_{k+1}g_{k+1}^{-1}...g_m^{-1}g|_{W_k} = g_{j+1}^{-1}...g_m^{-1}g|_{W_k}$ and $g_m...g_k|_{W_k} = g|_{W_k}$ for each $1 \le k < m$. Then $g_{i-1}...g_1(U) \subset (U \setminus (W_1 \cup ... \cup W_{i-1})) \cup (g_{i-1}...g_1(U \cap W_1) \cup g_{i-1}...g_2(W_2 \cap U)... \cup g_{i-1}(U \cap W_{i-1}))$ and $W_i \cup g_{i-1}...g_1(U) \ne M$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, since $U \ne M$ and $g(U) \ne M$, consequently, Condition (iii) of $(E3)$ is also satisfied.

For each clopen compact V in M the group $G_V := \{g \in G : supp(g) \subset V\}$ is topologically perfect by Theorem 3. Moreover, $G = \bigcup_V G_V$, where the union is by all clopen compact subsets V in M. The group G is supplied with the C^α compact-open topology. Therefore, G is topologically perfect. In view of the Epstein Theorem 2.2.1 and Corollary 2.2.2 [5] the commutator group $[G, G]$ is topologically simple, since each $[G_V, G_V]$ is topologically simple. On the other hand, $[G, G]$ is dense in G, hence G is topologically simple. If M is locally compact and noncompact, then $Diff_c^\alpha(M)$ is everywhere dense in $Diff^\alpha(M)$, hence the latter group is topologically simple relative to its C^α compact-open topology.

5. Remark. If M is a compact manifold, $t \ge 0$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$, then $Diff^\alpha(M)$ is not algebraically simple being the projective limit of groups due to Theorem 3.6.

6. Theorem. *Let M be a C^α compact manifold, $t \ge 0$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$. Then each continuous automorphism of $Diff^\alpha(M)$ belongs to the group of homeomorphisms $Hom(M, M) =: Hom(M)$.*

Proof. Let ψ be a continuous automorphism of $Diff^\alpha(M)$. In view of Theorem 3.6 above or Theorem 2.5 [24] for each $v \in \mathbf{N}$, $v \ge s$, there exists the quotient mapping $\pi_v^* : Diff^\alpha(M) \to \Sigma_{b_v}$, where Σ_m is the symmetric group of the set $\{1, ..., m\}$, b_v is the cardinality of the finite set $M_v = \pi_v(M)$, π_v^* is induced by the quotient mapping $\pi_v : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}/B(\mathbf{K}, 0, |\pi|^v)$ with the help of polynomial expansions by Formulas 3.2$(4, 5)$. Consider M embedded into $\mathbf{K}^n$. The set of diffeomorphisms f such that $f - id$ is a piecewise affine on balls of the covering of M is contained in $Diff^\alpha(M)$, consequently, $\pi_v^*(Diff^\alpha(M)) = S_{b_v}$ is the epimorphism. This also follows from Formulas 3.2$(4-7)$. The automorphism ψ induces the automorphism ψ_v of $\pi_v^*(Diff^\alpha(M))$ such that $\pi_v^*(\psi(g)) =: \psi_v(\pi_v^*(g))$, since then $\pi_v^*(\psi(gh)) = \pi_v^*(\psi(g)\psi(h)) = \pi_v^*(\psi(g))\pi_v^*(\psi(h)) = \psi_v(\pi_v^*(g))\psi_v(\pi_v^*(h))$ for each $g, h \in Diff^\alpha(M)$. Consider v sufficiently large such that $b_v > 6$. In view of the Hölder Theorem 5.3.1 [19] the automorphism ψ_v is internal: $\psi_v(a) = h_v a h_v^{-1}$ for each

$a \in \pi_v^*(Diff^\alpha(M))$, where $h_v \in \pi_v^*(Diff^\alpha(M))$ is a marked element. This defines the inverse sequence $\{h_v, \pi_l^v, \Lambda_s\}$ such that $\pi_l^v(h_v) = h_l$ for each $v \ge l \in \Lambda_s$, where $\pi_l^v \circ \pi_v^* = \pi_l^*$ and $\pi_l^v : G_v \to G_l$ are algebraic epimorphisms of discrete groups $G_v := \pi_v^*(Diff^\alpha(M))$ for each $v \ge l \in \Lambda_s$, π_l^v are written without star for simplicity of notation. Each $h_v : M_v \to M_v$ is the homeomorphism and each $\psi_v : G_v \to G_v$ is the homeomorphism. A limit of an inverse mapping system of homeomorphic mappings is a homeomorphism by Proposition 2.5.10 [11]. Therefore, $h = pr - \lim\{h_v, \pi_l^v, \Lambda_s\}$ is the element of $Diff_w(M)$ (see Theorems 3.6, 3.7 above and Theorem 2.5 [24]), moreover, $\psi(g) = \lim\{h_v\pi_v^*(g)h_v^{-1}, \pi_l^v, \Lambda_s\} = hgh^{-1}$ for each $g \in Diff^\alpha(M)$. On the other hand, $Diff_w(M)$ is algebraically isomorphic with $Hom(M)$, hence $h \in Hom(M)$.

7. Remark. Theorem 6 is not true for a locally compact noncompact manifold M for the group $Diff_c^\alpha(M)$ of compactly supported diffeomorphisms of $Diff^\alpha(M)$, since then $Diff_c^\alpha(M)$ has the external automorphisms $\phi_f(g) := fgf^{-1}$ for $f \in Diff^\alpha(M) \setminus Diff_c^\alpha(M)$, where $g \in Diff_c^\alpha(M)$. Moreover, $Diff_c^\alpha(M)$ is the proper normal subgroup in $Diff^\alpha(M)$, but $Diff_c^\alpha(M)$ for a locally compact noncompact manifold M is the proper non-closed subgroup everywhere dense in $Diff^\alpha(M)$.

7 Projective decomposition of loop groups.

1. Let as in §2.1 $\bar{M}$ and N be two compact manifolds over a locally compact non-archimedean infinite field $\mathbf{K}$ with a multiplicative non trivial norm relative to which $\mathbf{K}$ is complete as the uniform space and $Diff_0^\alpha(\bar{M})$ be a subgroup in $Diff^\alpha(\bar{M})$ of all elements $\psi \in Diff^\alpha(\bar{M})$ such that $\psi(s_0) = s_0$, where s_0 is a marked point in $\bar{M}$, $\alpha \in \{t, [t]\}$. Denote by $C_0^\alpha(M, N)$ a subspace in $C^\alpha(\bar{M}, N)$ of all elements $f \in C^\alpha(\bar{M}, N)$ such that $\lim_{|\zeta_1|+...+|\zeta_n| \to 0} \bar{\Phi}^v(f - w_0)(s_0; h_1, ..., h_n; \zeta_1, ..., \zeta_n) = 0$ for $\alpha = t$ or $\lim_{|\zeta_1|+...+|\zeta_n| \to 0} \Upsilon^v(f - w_0)(s_0^{[n]}) = 0$ for $\alpha = [t]$ for each $v \in \{0, 1, ..., t\}$, where $M = \bar{M} \setminus s_0$ and $w_0(\bar{M}) = \{y_0\}$, $x^{[k+1]} = (x^{[k]}, v^{[k]}, \zeta_k)$ (see §2.6 [23] and Section 2 above). Geometric loop monoids $\Omega_\alpha(M, N)$ and loop groups $L_\alpha(M, N)$ for C^α classes of mappings were constructed in [23]. The same construction is for $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 0$.

Theorem. *Let $\Omega_\alpha(M, N)$ be a commutative loop monoid, then the quotient mappings π_k induce the corresponding inverse sequence $\{\Omega(M_k, N_k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $\Omega^w(M, N) := pr - \lim_k \Omega(M_k, N_k)$ is the commutative compact topological monoid, where $\pi_k^* : \Omega_\alpha(M, N) \to \Omega(M_k, N_k)$, $\pi_k^l : \Omega(M_l, N_l) \to \Omega(M_k, N_k)$ are surjective mappings for each $l \ge k$, $\Omega(M_k, N_k) = \{f_k : f_k \in N_k^{M_k}, f_k(s_{0,k}) = y_{0,k}\}/K_{\alpha,k}$, $K_{\alpha,k}$ is an equivalence relation induced by an equivalence relation K_α. Moreover, $\Omega^w(M, N)$ is a compactification of $\Omega_\alpha(M, N)$ relative to the* *projective weak topology* τ_w.

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.3 $\pi_k(C^\alpha_0(M,N))$ is isomorphic with $\{f_k : f_k \in N_k^{M_k}, f_k(s_{0,k}) = y_{0,k}\}$, where the quotient mapping is denoted by π_k both for M and N, since it is induced by the same ring homomorphism $\pi_k : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}/B(\mathbf{K},0,1)$, $s_{0,k} := \pi_k(s_0)$ and $y_{0,k} := \pi_k(y_0)$, where $k \ge s = \max(s(M), s(N))$. Then $\pi^*_k(Diff^\alpha_0(M))$ is isomorphic with $Hom_0(M_k) := \{\psi_k : \psi_k \in Hom(M_k), \psi_k(s_{0,k}) = s_{0,k}\}$. All of this is also applicable with the corresponding changes to classes of smoothness C^α (or $C(\alpha)$ in the notation of [23]), where $\alpha = t$ or $\alpha = [t]$ with substitution of $\bar\Phi^k$ on Υ^k in the latter case. If f and g are two K_α-equivalent elements in $C^\alpha_0(M,N)$, that is, there are sequences f_n and g_n in $C^\alpha_0(M,N)$ converging to f and g respectively and also a sequence $\psi_n \in Diff^\alpha_0(M)$ such that $f_n(x) = g_n(\psi_n(x))$ for each $x \in M$, then $\pi^*_k(f_n) =: f_{n,k}$ and $g_{n,k} := \pi^*_k(g_n)$ converge to $\pi^*_k(f)$ and $\pi^*_k(g)$ respectively and also $\psi_{n,k} := \pi^*_k(\psi_n) \in Hom_0(M_k)$. From the equality $f_{n,k}(x(k)) = g_{n,k}(\psi_{n,k}(x(k)))$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x(k) \in M_k$ it follows, that the equivalence relation K_α induces the corresponding equivalence relation $K_{\alpha,k}$ in $\pi^*_k(C^\alpha_0(M,N))$ such that classes $<\pi^*_k(f)>_{K,\alpha,k}$ of $K_{\alpha,k}$-equivalent elements are closed. Each element $f_k \in \pi^*_k(C^\alpha_0(M,N))$ is characterized by the equality $f_k(s_{0,k}) = y_{0,k}$. This induces the quotient mapping $\pi^*_k : \Omega_\alpha(M,N) \to \Omega(M_k,N_k)$ and surjective mappings $\pi^l_k : \Omega(M_l,N_l) \to \Omega(M_k,N_k)$ for each $l \ge k$. Each $\Omega(M_k,N_k)$ is the finite discrete set, since each $N_k^{M_k}$ is the finite discrete set. This produces the inverse sequence of finite discrete spaces, hence the limit $\Omega^w(M,N) := pr-\lim\{\Omega(M_k,N_k), \pi^k_l, \Lambda_s\}$ of the inverse sequence is compact and totally disconnected. It remains to verify that $\Omega^w(M,N)$ is the commutative topological monoid with the unit element and the cancelation property.

From the equality $M = \bar M \setminus \{s_0\}$, it follows that $M_k = \bar M_k$, since for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $x \in M$ such that $x + B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}},0,p^{-k}) \ni s_0$. Moreover, M_k and N_k are finite discrete spaces. Then $\pi_k(M \vee M) = M_k \vee M_k$, where $A \vee B := A \times \{b_0\} \cup \{a_0\} \times B \subset A \times B$ is the wedge product of pointed spaces (A,a_0) and (B,b_0), A and B are sets with marked points $a_0 \in A$ and $b_0 \in B$. The composition operation is defined on threads $\{<f_k>_{K,\alpha,k}: k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of the inverse sequence in the following way. There was fixed a $C^{[\infty]}$-diffeomorphism $\chi : M \vee M \to M$ [23]. Let $x \in M$, then $\pi_k(x) \in M_k$ and $\chi^{-1}(U) \in M \vee M$, where $U := \pi_k^{-1}(x + B(\mathbf{K},0,p^{-k})) \cap M$. On the other hand $\chi^{-1}(U)$ is a disjoint union of balls of radius p^{-2k} in $B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{2m}},0,1)$, hence there is defined a surjective mapping $\chi_k : M_{2k} \vee M_{2k} \to M_k$ induced by χ, π_k and π_{2k} such that $\chi_k(\chi^{-1}(U)) = \pi_k(x)$. If f and $g \in C^\alpha(M,N)$, then $f \vee g \in C^\alpha((M \vee M),N)$ and $\chi(f \vee g) \in C^\alpha(M,N)$ as in §2.6 [23]. Hence $\chi_k(f_{2k} \vee g_{2k}) \in C^\alpha(M_k,N_k)$ and inevitably $\chi_k(<f_{2k} \vee g_{2k}>_{K,\alpha,2k}) = \chi_k(<f_{2k}>_{K,\alpha,2k} \vee <g_{2k}>_{K,\alpha,2k}) \in \Omega(M_k,N_k)$.

There exists a one to one correspondence between elements $f \in C_w(\bar M,N)$ and $\{f_k : k\} \in \{N_k^{M_k} : k \in \Lambda_s\}$. Therefore, $pr-\lim_k \Omega(M_k,N_k)$ algebraically this is the commutative monoid with the cancelation property. Let U be a neighborhood of e in $\Omega^w(M,N)$, then there exists $U_k = \pi_k^{-1}(V_k)$ such that V_k is open in $\Omega(M_k,N_k)$, $e \in U_k$ and $U_k \subset U$. On the other hand there exists $U_{2k} = \pi_{2k}^{-1}(V_{2k})$ such that V_{2k} is open in $\Omega(M_{2k},N_{2k})$, $e \in U_{2k}$ and $U_{2k}+U_{2k} \subset U_k$. Therefore, $(f+U_{2k})+(g+U_{2k}) \subset f+g+U_k \subset f+g+U$ for each $f,g \in \Omega^w(M,N)$, consequently, the composition in $\Omega^w(M,N)$ is continuous. Since $C_0^\alpha(M,N)$ is dense in $C_{0,w}(\bar{M},N)$, then $\Omega_\alpha(M,N)$ is dense in $\Omega^w(M,N)$ relative to the projective weak topology τ_w.

2. Corollary. *The loop group $L_\alpha(M,N)$ has a non-archimedean compactification $L^w(M,N)$ relative to the projective weak topology τ_w.*

Proof. Using the Grothendieck construction we get a compactification $L^w(M,N) = \bar{F}/\bar{B}$ of a loop group $L_\alpha(M,N)$, where $\bar{F}$ is a closure in $(\Omega^w(M,N))^{\mathbf{Z}}$ of a free commutative group F generated by $\Omega^w(M,N)$ and $\bar{B}$ is a closure of a subgroup B generated by all elements $[a+b]-[a]-[b]$, since the product of compact spaces is compact by the Tychonoff theorem.

3. Let now $s_0=0$ and $y_0=0$ be two marked points in the compact manifolds $\bar{M}$ and N embedded into $\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}}$ and $\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}$ respectively. There is defined the following $C^{[\infty]}$-diffeomorphism $inv : (\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}})' \to (\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}})'$ for $(\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}})' := \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}} \setminus \{x : \text{ there exists } j \text{ with } x_j = 0\}$ such that $inv(x_1,...,x_{\mathsf{m}}) = (x_1^{-1},...,x_{\mathsf{m}}^{-1})$. Let $M' = M \cap (\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}})'$, then $inv(M')$ is locally compact and unbounded in $\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}}$, consequently, $\pi_k(inv(M')) = (inv(M'))_k$ is a discrete infinite subset in $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathsf{m}}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Analogously $\pi_k(inv(M' \vee M')) = (inv(M' \vee M'))_k \subset \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2\mathsf{m}}$. There exists a $C^{[\infty]}$-diffeomorphism $\chi : M \vee M \to M$ such that $inv \circ \chi \circ inv$ is the $C^{[\infty]}$-diffeomorphism of $inv(M' \vee M')$ with $inv(M')$ and it induces bijective mappings χ_k of $inv((inv(M' \vee M'))_k)$ with $inv((inv(M'))_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\hat{\pi}_k^l \circ \chi_l = \chi_k$ for each $l \geq k$, where $\hat{\pi}_k^l := inv \circ \pi_k^l \circ inv$. This produces inverse sequences of discrete spaces $inv((inv(M'))_k) =: \hat{M}_k$, $inv((inv(M' \vee M'))_k) = \hat{M}_k \vee \hat{M}_k$ and their bijections χ_k such that $pr-\lim_k \hat{M}_k$ is homeomorphic with M' and $pr-\lim_k \chi_k$ is equal to χ up to the homeomorphism, since $pr-\lim_k \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathsf{m}} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}}$ (see also about admissible modifications and polyhedral expansions in [27, 28]). If $\psi \in Diff_0^\alpha(\bar{M})$, then $\hat{\psi} \in Diff^\alpha(\hat{M})$. Let $J_{f,k} := \{h_k : h_k = f_k \circ \psi_k, \psi_k \in Hom(\hat{M}_k), \psi_k(s_{0,k}) = s_{0,k}\}$ for $f_k \in N_k^{\hat{M}_k}$ with $\lim_{x \to 0} f_k(x) = 0$, then $J_{f,k}$ is closed and $\hat{\pi}_k^*(< f >_{K,\alpha}) \subset J_{f,k}$. Therefore, g_k and f_k are $\hat{K}_{\alpha,k}$-equivalent if and only if there exists $\psi_k \in Hom(\hat{M}_k)$ such that $\psi_k(s_{0,k}) = s_{0,k}$ and $g_k(x) = f_k(\psi_k(x))$ for each $x \in \hat{M}_k$. Let $\Omega(\hat{M}_k,N_k) := \hat{\pi}_k^*(\Omega_\alpha(M,N))$.

Theorem. *The set of $\Omega(\hat{M}_k,N_k)$ forms an inverse sequence*
$S = \{\Omega(\hat{M}_k,N_k); \hat{\pi}_k^l; k \in \Lambda_s\}$ such that $pr-\lim S =: \Omega^{i,w}(M,N)$ is an associative topological loop monoid with the cancelation property and the unit element e. There exists an embedding of $\Omega_\alpha(M,N)$ into $\Omega^{i,w}(M,N)$ such that $\Omega_\alpha(M,N)$ is dense in $\Omega^{i,w}(M,N)$ relative to the projective weak topology $\tau_{i,w}$.

Proof. Let U'_i be an analytic disjoint atlas of $inv(M')$, $f \in C^\alpha(inv(M'),\mathbf{K})$, $\psi \in Diff^\alpha(inv(M'))$, then each restriction $f|_{U'_i}$ has the form $f|_{U'_i}(x) = \sum_m f_{i,m}\bar{Q}_{i,m}(x)$ for each $x \in U'_i$, where $\bar{Q}_{i,m}$ are basic Amice polynomials for U'_i, $f_{i,m} \in \mathbf{K}$. Therefore f is a combination $f = \nabla_i f|_{U'_i}$, hence $\hat{\pi}^*_k(f \circ \psi(x)) = \sum_m [\hat{\pi}^*_k(f_{i,m}) \nabla_{(i,\psi_k(x(k)) \in \hat{\pi}_k(U'_k)} \bar{Q}_{i,m,k}(\psi_k(x(k)))]$ and inevitably $\hat{\pi}^*_k((f \circ \psi)(x)) = f_k \circ \psi_k(x(k))$, where $\bar{Q}_{i,m,k} := \hat{\pi}^*_k(\bar{Q}_{i,m})$, $x \in inv(M')$ and $x(k) = \hat{\pi}_k(x)$.

As in §2.6.2 [23] we choose an infinite atlas $At'(M) := \{(U'_j, \phi'_j) : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $\phi'_j : U'_j \to B(X, y'_j, r'_j)$ are homeomorphisms, $\lim_{k\to\infty} r'_{j(k)} = 0$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} y'_{j(k)} = 0$ for an infinite sequence $\{j(k) \in \mathbf{N} : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $cl_{\bar{M}}[\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty U'_{j(k)}]$ is a clopen neighborhood of zero in $\bar{M}$, where $cl_{\bar{M}}A$ denotes the closure of a subset A in $\bar{M}$. We take $|y'_{j(k)}| > r'_{j(k)}$ for each k, hence $inv(B(X, y'_j, r'_j) \cap X') = B(X, {y'}^{-1}_j, {r'}^{-1}_j) \cap X'$ and $\bigcup_k inv(U'_{j(k)} \cap X')$ is open in X', where $X = \mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}}$. For an atlas $At'(M \vee M) := \{(W_l, \alpha_l) : l \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with homeomorphisms $\alpha_l : W_l \to B(X, z_l, a_l)$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{l(k)} = 0$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} z_{l(k)} = 0$ for an infinite sequence $\{l(k) \in \mathbf{N} : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ such that $cl_{\bar{M}\vee\bar{M}}[\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty W_{l(k)}]$ is a clopen neighborhood of 0×0 in $\bar{M} \vee \bar{M}$ we also choose $|z_l| > a_l$ for each l, where $card(\mathbf{N} \setminus \{l(k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\}) = card(\mathbf{N} \setminus \{j(k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\})$.

Then we take $\chi(W_{l(k)}) = U'_{j(k)}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\chi(W_l) = U'_{\kappa(l)}$ for each $l \in (\mathbf{N} \setminus \{l(k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\})$, where $\kappa : (\mathbf{N} \setminus \{l(k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\}) \to (\mathbf{N} \setminus \{j(k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\})$ is a bijective mapping such that $p^{-1} \le r'_{j(k)}/a_{l(k)} \le p$ for each k and $p^{-1} \le r'_{\kappa(l)}/a_l \le p$ for each $l \in (\mathbf{N} \setminus \{l(k) : k \in \mathbf{N}\})$. We can choose the locally affine mapping χ on $M = \bar{M} \setminus \{s_0\}$ such that $\bar{\Phi}^n \chi = 0$ or $\Upsilon^n \chi = 0$ for each $n \ge 2$ and $B(X', {y'}^{-1}_l, {r'}^{-1}_l)$ are diffeomorphic with $inv(U'_l \cap X')$ and $B(X' \vee X', z_l^{-1}, a_l^{-1})$ are diffeomorphic with $inv(W_l \cap (X' \vee X'))$.

This induces the diffeomorphisms $\hat{\chi} := inv \circ \chi \circ inv : \hat{M} \vee \hat{M} \to \hat{M}$ and $\hat{\chi}^* : C^\alpha_0((\hat{M} \vee \hat{M}, \infty \times \infty), (N, y_0)) \to C^\alpha_0((\hat{M}, \infty), (N, y_0))$, since each $\bar{\Phi}^n(f \vee g)(\hat{\chi}^{-1})$ or $\Upsilon^n(f \vee g)(\hat{\chi}^{-1})$ has an expression through $\bar{\Phi}^l(f \vee g)$ and $\bar{\Phi}^j(\hat{\chi}^{-1})$ or $\Upsilon^l(f \vee g)$ and $\Upsilon^j(\hat{\chi}^{-1})$ respectively with $l, j \le q$ and q subordinated to α, where $\hat{M} := inv(M')$ and conditions defining the subspace $C^\alpha_0((\hat{M}, \infty), (N, y_0))$ differ from that of $C^\alpha_0((M, s_0), (N, y_0))$ by substitution of $\lim_{x\to s_0}$ on $\lim_{|x|\to\infty}$. Then $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |\hat{\chi}(x)| = \infty$, consequently, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\hat{\chi}_k : \hat{M}_k \vee \hat{M}_k \to \hat{M}_k$ are bijections for each $k \ge k_0$, where $\hat{\chi}_k := \hat{\pi}_k \circ \hat{\chi}$. If $\psi \in Diff^\alpha(\bar{M})$ and $\psi(0) = 0$, then $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \hat{\psi}(x) = \infty$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \hat{\psi}^{-1}(x) = \infty$. Then considering $\hat{\psi}_k$ we get an equivalence relation $K_{\alpha,k}$ in $\{f_k : f_k \in N_k^{\hat{M}_k}, \lim_{|x|\to\infty} f_k(x) = 0\}$ induced by K_α, where $\hat{M}_k$ is supplied with the quotient norm induced from the space X, since $X' \subset X$, $x \in \hat{M}_k$.

Let J_k denotes the quotient mapping corresponding to $K_{\alpha,k}$. Therefore analogously to §2.6 [23] we get, that $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ are commutative monoids with the cancelation property and the unit elements e_k, since $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k) = \{f_k : f_k \in C^0(\hat{M}_k, N_k), \lim_{|x|\to\infty} f_k(x) = 0\}/\hat{K}_{\alpha,k}$ and mappings $\hat{\pi}^l_k : (\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}})'_l \to (\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{m}})'_k$ and mappings $\pi^l_k : {\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}}_l \to {\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}}_k$ induce mappings $\hat{\pi}^l_k : \Omega(\hat{M}_l, N_l) \to \Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ for each $l \ge k$. Let the topology in $\{f_k : f_k \in C^0(\hat{M}_k, N_k), \lim_{|x|\to\infty} f_k(x) = 0\}$ be induced from the Tychonoff product topology in $N_k^{\hat{M}_k}$ and $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ be in the quotient topology.

The space $N_k^{\hat{M}_k}$ is metrizable by the Baire metric $\rho(x,y) := |\pi|^{-j}$, where $j = \min\{i : x_i \ne y_i, x_1 = y_1, ..., x_{i-1} = y_{i-1}\}$, $x = (x_l : x_l \in N_k, l \in \mathbf{N})$, $\hat{M}_k$ is enumerated as $\mathbf{N}$, $\pi \in \mathbf{K}$, $0 < |\pi| < 1$ is the generator of the valuation group $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$. Therefore, $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is metrizable and the mapping $(f_k, g_k) \to f_k \vee g_k$ is continuous, hence the mapping $(J_k(f_k), J_k(g_k)) \to J_k(f_k) \circ J_k(g_k)$ is also continuous. Then $J_k(w_{0,k})$ is the unit element, where $w_{0,k}(\hat{M}_k) = 0$. Hence $\Omega^{i,w}(M,N)$ is the commutative monoid with the cancelation property and the unit element. Certainly $\prod_k \Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is the topological monoid and $pr - \lim S$ is a closed in it topological totally disconnected monoid. For each $f \in C^\alpha_0(M,N)$ there exists an inverse sequence $\{f_k : f_k = \hat{\pi}^*_k(f), k \in \Lambda_s\}$ such that $f(x) = pr - \lim_k f_k(x(k))$ for each $x \in M'$, but M' is dense in M. Therefore there exists an embedding $\Omega^\alpha(M,N) \hookrightarrow \Omega^{i,w}(M,N)$, hence $\Omega^\alpha(M,N)$ is dense in $\Omega^{i,w}(M,N)$ relative to the projective weak topology $\tau_{i,w}$, since $C^\alpha(M,N)$ is dense in $C_w(M,N)$ relative to the τ_w topology.

4. Corollary. *The inverse sequence of loop monoids induces the inverse sequence of loop groups* $S_L := \{L(\hat{M}_k, N_k); \hat{\pi}^l_k; \Lambda_s\}$. *Its projective limit* $L^{i,w}(M,N) := pr - \lim S_L$ *is a commutative topological totally disconnected group and* $L_\alpha(M,N)$ *has an embedding in it as a dense subgroup.*

Proof. Due to the Grothendieck construction the inversion operation $f_k \mapsto f_k^{-1}$ is continuous in $L(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ and homomorphisms $\hat{\pi}^l_k$ and $\hat{\pi}_k$ have continuous extensions from loop submonoids onto loop groups $L(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$. Each monoid $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is totally disconnected, since $N_k^{\hat{M}_k}$ is totally disconnected and $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is supplied with the quotient ultrametric, hence the free Abelian group F_k generated by $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is also totally disconnected and ultramertizable, consequently, $L(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is ultrametrizable. Evidently their inverse limit is also ultrametrizable and the equivalent ultrametric can be chosen with values in $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{K}} := \{|z| : z \in \mathbf{K}\}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{K}} \cap (0,\infty)$ is discrete in $(0,\infty) := \{x : 0 < x < \infty, x \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Then the projective limit (that is, weak) topology of $L^{i,w}(M,N)$ is induced by the projective weak topology of $C_w(M, \mathbf{K})$.

5. Theorem. *For each prime number p the loop group* $L_\alpha(M,N)$ *in its weak topology inherited from* $L^{i,w}(M,N)$ *has the non-archimedean compactification isomorphic with* ${\mathbf{Z_p}}^{\aleph_0}$, *moreover,* $L^{i,w}(M,N)$ *has the compactification* $(\nu\mathbf{Z})^{\aleph_0}$, *where* $\nu\mathbf{Z}$ *is the one-point Alexandroff compactification of* $\mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. The projective ring homomorphism $\pi_k : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K_k}$ induces

$$\hat{\pi}^*_k(\bar{\Phi}^m(f(x; h_1, ..., h_m; \zeta_1, ..., \zeta_m)) = \bar{\Phi}^m f_k(x(k); h_1(k), ..., h_m(k); \zeta_1(k), ..., \zeta_m(k))$$

and $\hat{\pi}_k^*(\Upsilon^m(f(x^{[m]})) = \Upsilon^{[m]} f_k(x(k)^{[m]})$,
where $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $\bar{\Phi}^m f_k$ and $\Upsilon^m f_k$ are defined for the field of fractions generated by $\mathbf{K_k}$, since $\mathbf{K}$ is the commutative field (see also [4] and §§2.1-2.6 [23]). Then the condition

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \bar{\Phi}^m f(x; h_1, ..., h_m; \zeta_1, ..., \zeta_m) = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \Upsilon^m f(x^{[m]}) = 0$$

implies the condition

$$\lim_{|x(k)|\to\infty} \bar{\Phi}^m f_k(x(k); h_1(k), ..., h_m(k); \zeta_1(k), ..., \zeta_m(k)) = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$\lim_{|x(k)|\to\infty} \Upsilon^m f_k(x(k)^{[m]}) = 0$$

respectively, where $x^{[1]} = (x, v^{[0]}, \zeta_1)$, $x^{[m+1]} := (x^{[m]}, v^{[m]}, \zeta_{m+1})$. Therefore, $supp(f_k) := \hat{M}_k^f := \{x(k) : f_k(x(k)) \ne 0\}$ is a finite subset of the discrete space $\hat{M}_k$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then evidently, $\hat{\pi}_k^*(< g >_{K,\alpha})$ is a closed subset in $N_k^{\hat{M}_k}$ for each $g \in C_0^\alpha((\hat{M}, \infty), (N, 0))$, since for each limit point f_k of $\hat{\pi}_k^*(< g >_{K,\alpha})$ its support is the finite subset in $\hat{M}_k$. Let k_0 be such that $N_{k_0} \ne \{0\}$, then this is also true for each $k \ge k_0$. If $f_k \notin \hat{\pi}_k^*(< w_0 >_{K,\alpha})$ and $k \ge k_0$, then $f_k^{\vee n} \notin \hat{\pi}_k^*(< w_0 >_{K,\alpha})$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $f_k^{\vee n} := f_k \vee ... \vee f_k$ denotes the n-times wedge product, since $\|f^{\vee n}\|_{C^\alpha} \ge \|f\|_{C^\alpha} > 0$ and $\|f_k^{\vee n}\|_{C(\mathbf{K_k^m}, \mathbf{K_k^n})} \ge \|f\|_{C(\mathbf{K_k^m}, \mathbf{K_k^n})} > 0$, where $C(\mathbf{K_k^m}, \mathbf{K_k^n}) = \pi_k^*(C_b^\alpha(\mathbf{K^m}, \mathbf{K^n}))$ is the quotient module over the ring $\mathbf{K_k}$. Each $\hat{\pi}_k^*(< f >_{K,\alpha})$ can be presented as the following composition $z_1 b_1 + ... + z_l b_l$ in the additive group $L(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$, where each b_i corresponds to $\hat{\pi}_k^*(< g_i >_{K,\alpha})$ and the embedding of $\Omega(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ into $L(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$, $z_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $l = card(\hat{M}_k^f)$, $\hat{M}_k^{g_i}$ are singletons for each $i = 1, ..., l$.

Each $\hat{M}_k$ is the finite discrete set as well as N_k. For each $x \ne y \in \hat{M}_k$ there exists $\psi \in Hom_0(M_k)$ such that $\psi(x) = y$, where 0 corresponds to s_0 for convenience of the notation. Using the group $Hom_0(N_k)$ we get that $L(\hat{M}_k, N_k)$ is isomorphic with $\mathbf{Z}^{n_k}$, where $n_k = card(N_k) > 1$. For each prime number $p > 1$ there exists the p-adic completion of $\mathbf{Z}$ which is $\mathbf{Z_p}$. In view of Corollary 4 $L_\alpha(M, N)$ has the non-archimedean completion isomorphic with $\mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0}$, since $\mathbf{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Z_p}$ and $pr - \lim_k \mathbf{Z}^{n_k} = \mathbf{Z}^{\aleph_0}$.

On the other hand, we can take the multiplicative subgroup $\{\theta^l : l \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ of the locally compact field $\mathbf{F_{p^u}}(\theta)$ which gives the embedding ϕ of $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{F_{p^u}}(\theta)$, where $\theta^0 = 1$. The completion of $\phi(\mathbf{Z})$ in $\mathbf{F_{p^u}}(\theta)$ is $\phi(\mathbf{Z}) \cup \{0\}$ which is the one-point Alexandroff compactification $\nu\mathbf{Z}$ of $\mathbf{Z}$. This gives the non-archimedean completion $(\nu\mathbf{Z})^{\aleph_0}$ of $L_\alpha(M, N)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{Z}_p^{\aleph_0}$ and $(\nu\mathbf{Z})^{\aleph_0}$ are compact as products of compact spaces and $L^{i,w}(M, N)$ has the aforementioned embeddings into them.

6. Note. Using quotient mappings $\eta_{p,s} : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}/p^s\mathbf{Z}$ we get that $L_\alpha(M,N)^{\aleph_0}$ has the compactification equal to $\{\prod_{p\in \mathsf{P}} \mathbf{Z_p}^{\aleph_0}\} \times (\nu\mathbf{Z})^{\aleph_0}$ relative to the product Tychonoff topology, where P denotes the set of all prime numbers $p>1$, $s\in\mathbf{N}$. These compactifications produce characters of $L_{i,w}(M,N)$, since each compact Abelian group has only one-dimensional irreducible unitary representations [16]. On the other hand, there are irreducible continuous representations of compact groups in non-archimedean Banach spaces [38]. Among them there are infinite-dimensional [10, 36]. Moreover, in their initial C^α topologies diffeomorphism and loop groups also have infinite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations [22, 23]. At the same time topologies of $L_\alpha(M,N)$ and $L^w(M,N)$ or $L^{i,w}(M,N)$ are incomparable, since the topologies of $C^\alpha(M,N)$ and $C_w(M,N)$ are incomparable (see Theorem 3.7 above).

Projective limits of groups obtained above have the non-archimedean origin related with non-archimedean families of semi-norms on spaces of continuous or more narrow classes of functions between manifolds over ultra-normed fields. Generally, if a topological space X has a projective limit decomposition $X = pr-\lim\{X_\alpha, \pi^\alpha_\beta, \Lambda\}$, then if $f_\beta : X_\beta \to Y$ is a continuous function into a topological space Y, then $f := f_\beta \circ \pi_\beta : X \to Y$ is a continuous function, where the mapping $\pi^\alpha_\beta : X_\alpha \to X_\beta$ is continuous for each $\alpha \ge \beta \in \Lambda$, Λ is a directed set, $\pi^\alpha_\beta \circ \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$, $\pi_\alpha : X \to X_\alpha$ is continuous and epimorphic. Therefore, $f_\alpha = \tilde{\pi}^\beta_\alpha(f_\beta) := f_\beta \circ \pi^\alpha_\beta$ for each $\alpha \ge \beta$ generate the inductive limit $ind-\lim\{C(X_\beta,Y); \tilde{\pi}^\beta_\alpha; \Lambda\}$, where $C(X,Y)$ denotes the family of all continuous mappings from X into Y. On the other hand, if $Y = pr-\lim\{Y_\gamma, p^\gamma_\delta, \Psi\}$, then one gets $pr-\lim\{C(X,Y_\gamma); p^\gamma_\delta, \Psi\}$. Then these two constructions can be combined with repeated application of projective and inductive limits, which may be dependent on the order of taking limits. If $card(\Psi) \ge \aleph_0$, then a suitable box topology in $\prod_{\gamma\in\Psi} C(X,Y_\gamma)$ is strictly stronger than a weak topology in it and in its projective limit subspace (see also [31]).

7. Theorem. *If $\bar{M}$ and N are compact manifolds, $\alpha \in \{\infty, [\infty]\}$, then $L_\alpha(M,N)$ is the C^α Lie group.*

Proof. The uniform space $C^\alpha((M,s_0),(N,y_0))$ has the structure of the C^α manifold, since M and N are C^α manifolds, where $\alpha \in \{\infty, [\infty]\}$. Therefore, $\Omega_\alpha(M,N)$ and $L_\alpha(M,N)$ are C^α manifolds. The wedge product $(f,g) \mapsto f \vee g$ in $C^\alpha((M,s_0),(N,y_0))$ is the C^α mapping, since $M = \bar{M} \setminus \{s_0\}$. Using the quotient mapping by closures of equivalence relation caused by the action of $Diff^\alpha_0(M)$ becomes the C^α manifold and C^α monoid with the C^α smooth composition. Using the construction of $L_\alpha(M,N)$ we get, that $L_\alpha(M,N)$ is the C^α Lie group (see also for more details [23]).

8. Remark. Theorem 7 can be generalized in the C^α_b class for noncompact C^α_b manifolds

M and N.

8 Appendix.

1. Lemma. *Let either* $f, g \in C^{[n]}(U, Y)$, *where* U *is an open subset in* X, Y *is an algebra over* $\mathbf{K}$, *or* $f \in C^{[n]}(U, \mathbf{K})$ *and* $g \in C^{[n]}(U, Y)$, *where* Y *is a topological vector space over* $\mathbf{K}$, *then*

(1) $(fg)^{[n]}(x^{[n]}) = (\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \Upsilon)^n.(f \otimes g)(x^{[n]})$

and $(fg)^{[n]} \in C^0(U^{[n]}, Y)$, *where* $(\hat{\pi}^k g)(x^{[k]}) := g\circ\pi_1^0\circ\pi_2^1\circ...\circ\pi_k^{k-1}(x^{[k]})$, $\hat{P}^n g := P_n P_{n-1}...P_1 g$, $\pi_k^{k-1}(x^{[k]}) := x^{[k-1]}$, $(A\otimes B).(f\otimes g) := (Af)(Bg)$ *for* $A, B \in L(C^n(U, Y), C^m(U, Y))$, $m \le n$, $(A_1 \otimes B_1)...(A_k \otimes B_k).(f \otimes g) := (A_1...A_k \otimes B_1...B_k).(f \otimes g) := (A_1...A_k f)(B_1...B_k g)$ *for corresponding operators,* $\Upsilon^n f := f^{[n]}$, $(P_k g)(x^{[k]}) := g(x^{[k-1]} + v^{[k-1]} t_k)$,
$\hat{P}^k \hat{\pi}^{a_1}\Upsilon^{b_1}...\hat{\pi}^{a_l}\Upsilon^{b_l} g = P_{k+s}...P_{s+1}\hat{\pi}^{a_1}\Upsilon^{b_1}...\hat{\pi}^{a_l}\Upsilon^{b_l} g$ *with* $s = b_1 + ... + b_l - a_1 - ... - a_l \ge 0$, $a_1, ..., a_l, b_1, ..., b_l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$.

Proof. Let at first $n = 1$, then

(2) $(fg)^{[1]}(x^{[1]}) = [(fg)(x+vt) - (fg)(x)]/t = [(f(x+vt) - f(x))g(x+vt) + f(x)(g(x+vt) - g(x))]/t = (\Upsilon^1 f)(x^{[1]})(P_1 g)(x^{[1]}) + (\hat{\pi}_1^0 f)(x^{[1]})\Upsilon^1 g(x^{[1]})$,

since $\hat{\pi}_1^0(x^{[1]}) = x$ and P_1 is the composition of the projection $\hat{\pi}_1^0$ and the shift operator on vt. Let now $n = 2$, then applying Formula (2) we get:

(3) $(fg)^{[2]}(x^{[2]}) = ((fg)^{[1]}(x^{[1]}))^{[1]}(x^{[2]}) = (\Upsilon^1(f^{[1]}(x^{[1]})(x^{[2]}))g(x+(v^{[0]}+v_2^{[1]}t_2)(t_1+v_3^{[1]}t_2)+v_1^{[1]}t_2) + f^{[1]}(x^{[1]})g^{[1]}(x + v^{[0]}t_1, v_1^{[1]} + v_2^{[1]}(t_1 + v_3^{[1]}t_2), t_2) + f^{[1]}(x, v_1^{[1]}, t_2)g^{[1]}(x^{[1]} + v_1^{[1]}t_2) + f(x)g^{[2]}(x^{[2]})$,

where $v^{[k]} = (v_1^{[k]}, v_2^{[k]}, v_3^{[k]})$ for each $k \ge 1$ and $v^{[0]} = v_1^{[0]}$ such that $x^{[k]} + v^{[k]}t_{k+1} = (x^{[k]} + v_1^{[k]}t_{k+1}, v^{[k-1]} + v_2^{[k]}t_{k+1}, t_k + v_3^{[k]}t_{k+1})$ for each $1 \le k \in \mathbf{Z}$. For $n = 3$ we get

(4) $(fg)^{[3]}(x^{[3]}) = [(\Upsilon^3 f)(\hat{P}^3 g) + (\hat{\pi}^1\Upsilon^2 f)(\Upsilon^1\hat{P}^2 g) + (\Upsilon^1(\hat{\pi}^1\Upsilon^1 f))(\hat{P}^1\Upsilon^1\hat{P}^1 g)$
$+(\hat{\pi}^2\Upsilon^1 f)(\Upsilon^2\hat{P}^1 g) + (\Upsilon^2\hat{\pi}^1 f)(\hat{P}^2\Upsilon^1 g) + (\hat{\pi}^1\Upsilon^1\hat{\pi}^1 f)(\Upsilon^1\hat{P}^1\Upsilon^1 g)$
$+(\Upsilon^1(\hat{\pi}^2 f))(\hat{P}^1\Upsilon^2 g) + (\hat{\pi}^3 f)(\Upsilon^3 g)](x^{[3]})$,

since by our definition $\hat{P}^k\hat{\pi}^{a_1}\Upsilon^{b_1}...\hat{\pi}^{a_l}\Upsilon^{b_l} g = P_{k+s}...P_{s+1}\hat{\pi}^{a_1}\Upsilon^{b_1}...\hat{\pi}^{a_l}\Upsilon^{b_l} g$ with $s = b_1 + ... + b_l - a_1 - ... - a_l \ge 0$, $a_1, ..., a_l, b_1, ..., b_l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$.

Therefore, Formula (1) for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ is demonstrated by Formulas (2$-$4). If $f, g \in C^0(U^{[k]}, Y)$, $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$, then $(P_k(af+bg))(x^{[k]}) := (af+bg)(x^{[k-1]}+v^{[k-1]}t_k) = af(x^{[k-1]} + v^{[k-1]}t_k) + bg(x^{[k-1]} + v^{[k-1]}t_k)$, moreover, $\hat{\pi}^k(af + bg)(x^{[k]}) = (af + bg) \circ \pi_1^0 \circ \pi_2^1 \circ ... \circ \pi_k^{k-1}(x^{[k]}) = (af + bg)(x) = af(x) + bg(x) = a\hat{\pi}^k f(x^{[k]}) + b\hat{\pi}^k g(x^{[k]})$ for each $x^{[k]} \in U^{[k]}$, hence $\hat{\pi}^k$ and P_k and $\hat{P}^k$ are $\mathbf{K}$-linear operators for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that Formula (1) is proved for $n = 1, ..., m$, then for $n = m + 1$ it follows by application of Formula (2) to both sides of Formula (1) for $n = m$:

$(fg)^{m+1}(x^{[m+1]}) = ((fg)^{[m]}(x^{[m]}))^{[1]}(x^{[m+1]}) = ((\Upsilon\otimes \hat{P}+\hat{\pi}\otimes\Upsilon)^{m}.(f\otimes g)(x^{[m]}))^{[1]}(x^{[m+1]}) = (\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \Upsilon)^{m+1}.(f \otimes g)(x^{[m+1]})$,

since $x^{[m+1]} = (x^{[m]})^{[1]}$ and more generally $x^{[m+k]} = (x^{[m]})^{[k]}$ for each nonnegative integers m and k such that $\pi_k^{k-1}(x^{[m+k]}) = x^{[m+k-1]}$ for $k \ge 1$; Υ^k, $\hat{P}^k$ and $\hat{\pi}$ are $\mathbf{K}$-linear operators on corresponding spaces of functions (see above and Lemma 2.3) and

$(\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \Upsilon)^{m+1}.(f \otimes g)(x^{[m+1]}) =$
$\sum_{a_1+...+a_{m+1}+b_1+...+b_{m+1}=m+1}(\Upsilon^{a_1} \otimes \hat{P}^{a_1})$
$(\hat{\pi}^{b_1} \otimes \Upsilon^{b_1})...(\Upsilon^{a_{m+1}} \otimes \hat{P}^{a_{m+1}})(\hat{\pi}^{b_{m+1}} \otimes \Upsilon^{b_{m+1}}).(f \otimes g)(x^{[m+1]})$,

where a_j and b_j are nonnegative integers for each $j = 1, ..., m+1$, $(A_1 \otimes B_1)...(A_k \otimes B_k).(f \otimes g) := (A_1...A_k \otimes B_1...B_k).(f \otimes g) := (A_1...A_k f)(B_1...B_k g)$.

2. Note. Consider the projection

(1) $\psi_n : X^{m(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^{s(n)} \to X^{l(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^n$,

where $m(n) = 2m(n-1)$, $s(n) = 2s(n-1)+1$, $l(n) = n+1$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $m(0) = 1$, $s(0) = 0$, $m(n) = 2^n$, $s(n) = 1+2+2^2+...+2^{n-1} = 2^n - 1$. Then $m(n)$, $s(n)$, $l(n)$ and n correspond to number of variables in X, $\mathbf{K}$ for Υ^n, in X and $\mathbf{K}$ for $\bar{\Phi}^n$ respectively. Therefore, $\psi(x^{[n]}) = x^{(n)}$ and $\psi_n(U^{[n]}) = U^{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ for suitable ordering of variables. Thus $\bar{\Phi}^n f(x^{(n)}) = \hat{\psi}_n \Upsilon^n f(x^{[n]}) = f^{[n]}(x^{[n]})|_{W^{(n)}}$, where $\hat{\psi}_n g(y) := g(\psi_n(y))$ for a function g on a subset V in $X^{l(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^n$ for each $y \in \psi_n^{-1}(V) \subset X^{m(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^{s(n)}$, $W^{(n)} = U^{(n)} \times 0$, $0 \in X^{m(n)-l(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^{s(n)-n}$ for the corresponding ordering of variables.

3. Corollary. *Let either $f, g \in C^n(U,Y)$, where U is an open subset in X, Y is an algebra over $\mathbf{K}$, or $f \in C^n(U,\mathbf{K})$ and $g \in C^n(U,Y)$, where Y is a topological vector space over $\mathbf{K}$, then*

(1) $\bar{\Phi}^n(fg)(x^{(n)}) = (\bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \bar{\Phi})^n.(f \otimes g)(x^{(n)})$

and $\bar{\Phi}^n(fg) \in C^0(U^{(n)},Y)$. In more details:

(2) $\bar{\Phi}^n(fg)(x^{(n)}) = \sum_{0\le a, 0\le b, a+b=n} \sum_{j_1<...<j_a; s_1<...<s_b; \{j_1,...,j_a\}\cup\{s_1,...,s_b\}=\{1,...,n\}}$
$\bar{\Phi}^a f(x; v_{j_1}, ..., v_{j_a}; t_{j_1}, ..., t_{j_a}) \bar{\Phi}^b g(x + v_{j_1}t_{j_1} + ... + v_{j_a}t_{j_a}; v_{s_1}, ..., v_{s_b}; t_{s_1}, ..., t_{s_b})$.

Proof. The operator $\hat{\psi}_n$ is $\mathbf{K}$-linear, since $\hat{\psi}_n(af+bg)(y) = (af+bg)(\psi_n(y)) = af(\psi_n(y)) + bg(\psi_n(y))$ for each $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$ and functions f, g on a subset V in $X^{l(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^n$ and each $y \in \psi_n^{-1}(V) \subset X^{m(n)} \times \mathbf{K}^{s(n)}$. Mention that the restrictions of $\hat{\pi}_k^{k-1}$ and P_k on $W^{(k)}$ gives $\pi_k^{k-1}(x^{(k)}) := x^{(k-1)}$ and $(P_k g)(x^{(k)}) := g(x^{(k-1)} + v_k t_k)$ in the notation of §1.1. The application of the operator $\hat{\psi}_n$ to both sides of Equation 1(1) gives Equation (1) of this corollary, since $\hat{\psi}_n \Upsilon^n = \bar{\Phi}^n$ for each nonnegative integer n, where $\Upsilon^0 = I$ and $\bar{\Phi}^0 = I$ and $\hat{\psi}_0 = I$ are the unit operators.

4. Lemma. *Let $f_1, ..., f_k \in C^{[n]}(U,Y)$, where U is an open subset in X, either Y is an* *algebra over* $\mathbf{K}$, *or* $f_1, ..., f_{k-1} \in C^{[n]}(U, \mathbf{K})$ *and* $f_k \in C^{[n]}(U, Y)$, *where* Y *is a topological vector space over* $\mathbf{K}$, *then*

(1) $(f_1 ... f_k)^{[n]}(x^{[n]}) = [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (k-\alpha-1)}]^n . (f_1 \otimes ... \otimes f_k)(x^{[n]})$

and $(f_1 ... f_k)^{[n]} \in C^0(U^{[n]}, Y)$, *where*

$\hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (k-\alpha-1)} . (f_1 \otimes ... \otimes f_k) := (\hat{\pi}(f_1 ... f_\alpha))(\Upsilon f_{\alpha+1})(\hat{P}(f_{\alpha+2} ... f_k))$, *where* $\hat{\pi}^0 := I$, $\hat{P}^0 = I$ *is the unit operator,* $\hat{\pi} f_0 := 1$, $\hat{P} f_{k+1} := 1$ (*see Lemma 1*).

Proof. Consider at first $n = 1$ and apply Formula 1(1) by induction to appearing products of functions, then

(2) $\Upsilon^1(f_1 ... f_k)(x^{[1]}) = [(\Upsilon^1(f_1 ... f_{k-1}))(P_1 f_k) + (\hat{\pi}^1(f_1 ... f_{k-1}))(\Upsilon^1 f_k)](x^{[1]}) =$
$[(\Upsilon^1(f_1 ... f_{k-2}))(P_1 f_{k-1})(P_1 f_k) + (\hat{\pi}^1(f_1 ... f_{k-2}))(\Upsilon^1 f_{k-1})(P_1 f_k)$
$+ (\hat{\pi}^1(f_1 ... f_{k-1}))(\Upsilon^1 f_k)](x^{[1]}) = ...$
$= (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} (\hat{\pi}^1)^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon^1 \otimes P_1^{\otimes (k-\alpha-1)}) . (f_1 \otimes ... \otimes f_k)$,
where $A^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes B \otimes C^{\otimes (k-\alpha-1)} . (f_1 \otimes ... \otimes f_k) := (A(f_1 ... f_\alpha))(B f_{\alpha+1})(C(f_{\alpha+2} ... f_k))$ for operators A, B and C and each nonnegative integer α, where $A^0 := I$, $C^0 = I$ is the unit operator, $A f_0 := 1$, $C f_{k+1} := 1$, in particular, $A = \hat{\pi}^1$, $B = \Upsilon^1$, $C = P_1$. Thus, acting by induction on both sides by Υ^1 from Formula (2) we get Formula (1) of this lemma, since the product of n terms $\Upsilon^1 ... \Upsilon^1$ is equal to Υ^n.

5. Corollary. *Let* $f_1, ..., f_k \in C^n(U, Y)$, *where* U *is an open subset in* X, *either* Y *is an algebra over* $\mathbf{K}$, *or* $f_1, ..., f_{k-1} \in C^n(U, \mathbf{K})$ *and* $f_k \in C^n(U, Y)$, *where* Y *is a topological vector space over* $\mathbf{K}$, *then*

(1) $\bar{\Phi}^n(f_1 ... f_k)(x^{(n)}) = [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (k-\alpha-1)}]^n . (f_1 \otimes ... \otimes f_k)(x^{(n)})$

and $\bar{\Phi}^n(f_1 ... f_k) \in C^0(U^{(n)}, Y)$, *where*

$\hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (k-\alpha-1)} . (f_1 \otimes ... \otimes f_k) := (\hat{\pi}(f_1 ... f_\alpha))(\bar{\Phi} f_{\alpha+1})(\hat{P}(f_{\alpha+2} ... f_k))$ (*see Lemma 3*).

Proof. Applying operator $\hat{\psi}_n$ from Note 2 to both sides of Equation 4(1) we get Formula (1) of this Corollary.

6. Lemma. *Let* $u \in C^{[n]}(\mathbf{K}^s, \mathbf{K}^m)$, $u(\mathbf{K}^s) \subset U$ *and* $f \in C^{[n]}(U, Y)$, *where* U *is an open subset in* $\mathbf{K}^m$, $s, m \in \mathbf{N}$, Y *is a* $\mathbf{K}$*-linear space, then*

(1) $(f \circ u)^{[n]}(x^{[n]}) = [\sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_n=1}^{m(n)} (A_{j_n, v^{[n-1]}, t_n} ... A_{j_1, v^{[0]}, t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_n} \hat{S}_{j_{n-1}+1, v^{[n-2]} t_{n-1}}$
$... \hat{S}_{j_1+1, v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-1})(P_n \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-2}+1, v^{[n-3]} t_{n-2}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1, v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-2}) ... (P_n ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)$
$+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{m(n-1)} (\hat{\pi}^1(A_{j_{n-1}, v^{[n-2]}, t_{n-1}} ... A_{j_1, v^{[0]}, t_1} f \circ u)[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (n-\alpha-2)}]$
$((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-2}+1, v^{[n-3]} t_{n-2}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1, v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-2}) \otimes ... \otimes (P_{n-1} ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))$
$+ [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (n-\alpha-2)}](\sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_{n-2}=1}^{m(n-2)} (\hat{\pi}^1(A_{j_{n-2}, v^{[n-3]}, t_{n-2}} ... A_{j_1, v^{[0]}, t_1} f \circ u)) \otimes [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-3} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes$
$\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (n-\alpha-3)}]((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n-2}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-3}+1, v^{[n-4]} t_{n-3}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1, v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-3}) \otimes ... \otimes (P_{n-2} ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)) + ...$
$+ [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (2-\alpha)}]^{n-3} \{\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)} (\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_2, v^{[1]}, t_2} A_{j_1, v^{[0]}, t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \otimes \hat{P}^1 + \hat{\pi}^1 \otimes \Upsilon^1)((\Upsilon^1 \circ$
$p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1, v^{[0]} t_1} u) \otimes (P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))\}$

$+(\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \Upsilon)^{n-2}\{\sum_{j1=1}^{m} (\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u) \otimes (\Upsilon^2 \circ p_{j_1} u)\}](x^{[n]})$
and $f \circ u \in C^0((\mathbf{K}^s)^{[n]}, Y)$, *where*

$S_{j,\tau}u(y) := (u_1(y), ..., u_{j-1}(y), u_j(y+\tau_{(s)}), u_{j+1}(y+\tau_{(s)}), ..., u_m(y+\tau_{(s)}))$, $u = (u_1, ..., u_m)$, $u_j \in \mathbf{K}$ *for each* $j = 1, ..., m$, $y \in \mathbf{K}^s$, $\tau = (\tau_1, ..., \tau_k) \in \mathbf{K}^k$, $k \geq s$, $\tau_{(s)} := (\tau_1, ..., \tau_s)$, $p_j(x) := x_j$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_m)$, $x_j \in \mathbf{K}$ *for each* $j = 1, ..., m$, $\hat{S}_{j+1,\tau} g(u(y), \beta) := g(S_{j+1,\tau}u(y), \beta)$, $y \in \mathbf{K}^s$, β *is some parameter,* $A_{j,v,t} := (\hat{S}_{j+1,vt} \otimes t\Upsilon^1 \circ p_j)^* \Upsilon^1_j$, *where* Υ^1 *is taken for variables* (x, v, t) *or corresponding to them after actions of preceding operations as* Υ^k, $\Upsilon^1_j f(x, v_j, t) := [f(x + e_j v_j t) - f(x)]/t$, $(B \otimes A)^* \Upsilon^1 f_i \circ u^i(x, v, t) := \Upsilon^1_j f_i(Bu^i, v, Au^i)$, $B : \mathbf{K}^{m(i)} \to \mathbf{K}^{m(i)}$, $A : \mathbf{K}^{m(i)} \to \mathbf{K}$, $e_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbf{K}^{m(i)}$ *with* 1 *on* j*-th place;* $m(i) = m + i - 1$, $j_i = 1, ..., m(i)$; $u^1 := u$, $u^2 := (u^1, t_1 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u^1)$,...,$u^n = (u^{n-1}, t_{n-1} \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} u^{n-1})$, $A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u =: f_1 \circ u^1$, $A_{j_n,v^{[n-1]},t_n} f_{n-1} \circ u^{n-1} =: f_n \circ u^n$, $\hat{S}_* \Upsilon^1 f(z) := \Upsilon^1 f(\hat{S}_* z)$.

Proof. At first consider $n = 1$, then $(f \circ u)^{[1]}(t_0, v, t) = [f(u(t_0 + vt)) - f(u(t_0))]/t$, where $t_0 \in \mathbf{K}^s$, $t \in \mathbf{K}$, $v \in \mathbf{K}^s$. Though we consider here the general case mention, that in the particular case $s = 1$ one has $t_0 \in \mathbf{K}$, $v \in \mathbf{K}$. Then

$(f \circ u)^{[1]}(t_0, v, t) = [f(u(t_0+vt)) - f(u_1(t_0), u_2(t_0+vt), ..., u_m(t_0+vt))]/t + [f(u_1(t_0), u_2(t_0+vt), u_3(t_0+vt), ..., u_m(t_0+vt)) - f(u_1(t_0), u_2(t_0), u_3(t_0+vt), ..., u_m(t_0+vt))]/t+$
$... + [f(u_1(t_0), ..., u_{m-1}(t_0), u_m(t_0+vt)) - f(u(t_0))]/t$,
where $u = (u_1, ..., u_m)$, $u_j \in \mathbf{K}$ for each $j = 1, ..., m$. Since $u_j(t_0+vt) - u_j(t_0) = t u_j^{[1]}(t_0, v, t)$, hence

$(f \circ u)^{[1]}(t_0, v, t) = \Upsilon^1 f((u_1(t_0), u_2(t_0+vt), ..., u_m(t_0+vt)), e_1, t\Upsilon^1 u_1(t_0, v, t))$
$\Upsilon^1 u_1(t_0, v, t) + \Upsilon^1 f((u_1(t_0), u_2(t_0), u_3(t_0+vt), ..., u_m(t_0+vt)), e_2, t\Upsilon^1 u_2(t_0, v, t))$
$\Upsilon^1 u_2(t_0, v, t) + ... + \Upsilon^1 f(u(t_0), e_m, t\Upsilon^1 u_m(t_0, v, t)) \Upsilon^1 u_m(t_0, v, t)$,
since $u_j \in \mathbf{K}$ for each $j = 1, ..., m$ and $\mathbf{K}$ is the field, where $e_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbf{K}^m$ with 1 on j-th place for each $j = 1, ..., m$. With the help of shift operators it is possible to write the latter formula shorter:

(2) $\Upsilon^1(f \circ u)(y, v, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{S}_{j+1,vt} \Upsilon^1 f(u(y), e_j, t\Upsilon^1 \circ p_j u(y, v, t))(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_j u(y, v, t))$,
where $p_j(x) := x_j$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_m)$, $x_j \in \mathbf{K}$ for each $j = 1, ..., m$, $\hat{S}_{j+1,\tau} g(u(y), \beta) := g(S_{j+1,\tau}u(y), \beta)$, $y \in \mathbf{K}^s$, $\tau \in \mathbf{K}^k$, $k \geq s$, β is some parameter. Introduce operators $A_{j,v,t} := (\hat{S}_{j+1,vt} \otimes t\Upsilon^1 \circ p_j)^* \Upsilon^1_j$, where Υ^1 is taken for variables (y, v, t) or corresponding to them after actions of preceding operators as Υ^k remembering that $y^{[k]}, v^{[k]} \in (\mathbf{K}^s)^{[k]}$, $t \in \mathbf{K}$, $v^{[k]} = (v_1^{[k]}, v_2^{[k]}, v_3^{[k]})$ with $v_1^{[k]}, v_2^{[k]} \in (\mathbf{K}^s)^{[k-1]}$, $v_3^{[k]} \in \mathbf{K}^k$ for each $k \geq 1$, in particular, $v^{[0]} = v_1^{[0]}$ for $k = 0$, $\Upsilon^1_j f(x, v, t) := [f(x + e_j v_j t) - f(x)]/t$, $(B \otimes A)^* \Upsilon^1 f_i \circ u^i(y, v, t) := \Upsilon^1_j f_i(Bu^i, v, Au^i)$, $B : \mathbf{K}^{m(i)} \to \mathbf{K}^{m(i)}$, $A : \mathbf{K}^{m(i)} \to \mathbf{K}$. For example, in the particular case of $s = 1$ we have $v^{[k]} \in (\mathbf{K})^{[k]}$. Therefore, in the general case Formula (2) takes the form:

(3) $\Upsilon^1 f \circ u(y, v, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j,v,t} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_j u)(y, v, t)$.

Take now $n = 2$, then

$\Upsilon^2 f \circ u(y^{[2]}) = \Upsilon^1 \sum_{j=1}^m [(A_{j,v,t} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_j u)(y, v, t)](y^{[2]})$.

In the square brackets there is the product, hence from Formula 1(1) and Lemma 2.3 we get:

(4) $\Upsilon^2 f \circ u(y^{[2]}) = \sum_{j=1}^m [(\Upsilon^1 A_{j,v^{[0]},t} f \circ u)(P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_j u) + (\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j,v^{[0]},t} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^2 \circ p_j u)](y^{[2]})$.

Then from Formula (3) applied to terms $A_{j,v,t} f \circ u$ it follows, that $\Upsilon^1 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u(y^{[2]}) = \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)} (A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_2} S_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)(y^{[2]})$, where $v^{[0]} = v$, $t_1 = t$ (see also Lemma 1). Therefore,

(5) $\Upsilon^2 f \circ u(y^{[2]}) = [\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)} (A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)(P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u) +$
$\sum_{j_1=1}^m (\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^2 \circ p_{j_1} u)](y^{[2]})$.

Then for $n = 3$ applying Formulas (3) and 4(1) to (5) we get:

(6) $\Upsilon^3 f \circ u(y^{[3]}) = [\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)} \sum_{j_3=1}^{m(3)} (A_{j_3,v^{[2]},t_3} A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)$
$(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_3} \hat{S}_{j_2+1,v^{[1]} t_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^2)(P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)(P_3 P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u) +$
$\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)} [(\hat{\pi}^1 (A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u))(\Upsilon^2 \circ p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)(P_3 P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u) +$
$(\hat{\pi}^1 \{(A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)\}(\Upsilon^1 P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)] +$
$\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_3=1}^{m(3)} (A_{j_3,v^{[2]},t_3} \hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_3} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)(P_3 \Upsilon^2 \circ p_{j_1} u)$
$+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m (\hat{\pi}^2 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^3 \circ p_{j_1} u)](y^{[3]})$.

Thus Formula (1) is proved for $n = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose that it is true for $k = 1, ..., n$ and prove it for $k = n + 1$. Applying Formula 4(1) to both sides of (1) we get:

(7) $\Upsilon^{n+1} f \circ u(y^{[n+1]}) = [\sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_{n+1}=1}^{m(n+1)} (A_{j_{n+1},v^{[n]},t_{n+1}} ... A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)$
$(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n+1}} \hat{S}_{j_n+1,v^{[n-1]} t_n} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^n)(P_{n+1} \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_n} \hat{S}_{j_{n-1}+1,v^{[n-2]} t_{n-1}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-1})...$
$(P_{n+1} ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u) + \sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_n=1}^{m(n)} (\hat{\pi}^1 (A_{j_n,v^{[n-1]},t_n} ... A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)$
$\Upsilon^1 ((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_n} \hat{S}_{j_{n-1}+1,v^{[n-2]} t_{n-1}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-1}) ... (P_n ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)) +$
$\Upsilon^1 (\sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{m(n-1)} (\hat{\pi}^1 (A_{j_{n-1},v^{[n-2]},t_{n-1}} ... A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)) \Upsilon^1 ((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-2}+1,v^{[n-3]} t_{n-2}}$
$... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-2}) ... (P_{n-1} ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)) + ... + \Upsilon^{n-2} \{\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)}$
$(\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u) \Upsilon^1 ((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u)(P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))\} +$
$\Upsilon^{n-1} \{\sum_{j_1=1}^m \hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^2 \circ p_{j_1} u)\}](y^{[n+1]}) =$
$[\sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_{n+1}=1}^{m(n+1)} (A_{j_{n+1},v^{[n]},t_{n+1}} ... A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n+1}} \hat{S}_{j_n+1,v^{[n-1]} t_n}$
$... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^n)(P_{n+1} \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_n} \hat{S}_{j_{n-1}+1,v^{[n-2]} t_{n-1}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-1}) ... (P_{n+1} ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)$
$+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_n=1}^{m(n)} (\hat{\pi}^1 (A_{j_n,v^{[n-1]},t_n} ... A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (n-\alpha-1)}]$
$((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_n} \hat{S}_{j_{n-1}+1,v^{[n-2]} t_{n-1}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-1}) \otimes ... \otimes (P_n ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))$
$+ [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (n-\alpha-1)}](\sum_{j_1=1}^m ... \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{m(n-1)} (\hat{\pi}^1 (A_{j_{n-1},v^{[n-2]},t_{n-1}} ... A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)) \otimes [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes$
$\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (n-\alpha-2)}]$
$((\Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-2}+1,v^{[n-3]} t_{n-2}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u^{n-2}) \otimes ... \otimes (P_{n-1} ... P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))$
$+ [\sum_{\alpha=0}^2 \hat{\pi}^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes \Upsilon \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes (2-\alpha)}]^{n-2} \{\sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)} (\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_2,v^{[1]},t_2} A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u)(\Upsilon^1 \otimes \hat{P}^1 + \hat{\pi}^1 \otimes \Upsilon^1)((\Upsilon^1 \circ$
$p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{[0]} t_1} u) \otimes (P_2 \Upsilon^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))\}$

$+(\Upsilon \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \Upsilon)^{n-1}\{\sum_{j_1=1}^{m}(\hat{\pi}^1 A_{j_1,v^{[0]},t_1} f \circ u) \otimes (\Upsilon^2 \circ p_{j_1} u)\}](y^{[n+1]})$.

Mention that in general $(\Upsilon^{n+1} f \circ u)(y^{[n+1]})$ may depend nontrivially on all components of the vector $y^{[n+1]}$ through several terms in Formula (7). Thus Formula (1) of this Lemma is proved by induction.

7. Corollary. *Let $u \in C^n(\mathbf{K}^s, \mathbf{K}^m)$, $u(\mathbf{K}^s) \subset U$ and $f \in C^n(U,Y)$, where U is an open subset in $\mathbf{K}^m$, $s, m \in \mathbf{N}$, Y is a $\mathbf{K}$-linear space, then*

(1) $\bar{\Phi}^n(f \circ u)(x^{(n)}) = [\sum_{j_1=1}^{m} ... \sum_{j_n=1}^{m(n)}(B_{j_n,v^{(n-1)},t_n} ... B_{j_1,v^{(0)},t_1} f \circ u)$
$(\bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_n} \hat{S}_{j_{n-1}+1,v^{(n-2)}t_{n-1}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{(0)}t_1} u^{n-1})(P_n \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-2}+1,v_0^{(n-3)},t_{n-2}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{(0)}t_1} u^{n-2})$
$...(P_n ... P_2 \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_1} u) + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m} ... \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{m(n-1)}(\hat{\pi}^1(B_{j_{n-1},v^{(n-2)},t_{n-1}} ... B_{j_1,v^{(0)},t_1} f \circ u)[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes \bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes(n-\alpha-2)}]$
$((\bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-2}+1,v^{(n-3)}t_{n-2}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{(0)}t_1} u^{n-2}) \otimes ... \otimes (P_{n-1} ... P_2 \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))$
$+[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes \bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes(n-\alpha-2)}](\sum_{j_1=1}^{m} ... \sum_{j_{n-2}=1}^{m(n-2)}(\hat{\pi}^1(B_{j_{n-2},v^{(n-3)},t_{n-2}} ... B_{j_1,v^{(0)},t_1} f \circ u)) \otimes [\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-3} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes \bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes(n-\alpha-3)}]((\bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_{n-2}} \hat{S}_{j_{n-3}+1,v^{(n-4)}t_{n-3}} ... \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{(0)}t_1} u^{n-3}) \otimes ... \otimes (P_{n-2} ... P_2 \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_1} u)) + ...$
$+[\sum_{\alpha=0}^{2} \hat{\pi}^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes \bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P}^{\otimes(2-\alpha)}]^{n-3}\{\sum_{j_1=1}^{m} \sum_{j_2=1}^{m(2)}(\hat{\pi}^1 B_{j_2,v^{(1)},t_2} B_{j_1,v^{(0)},t_1} f \circ u)(\bar{\Phi}^1 \otimes \hat{P}^1 + \hat{\pi}^1 \otimes \bar{\Phi}^1)((\bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_2} \hat{S}_{j_1+1,v^{(0)}t_1} u) \otimes (P_2 \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_1} u))\}$
$+(\bar{\Phi} \otimes \hat{P} + \hat{\pi} \otimes \bar{\Phi})^{n-2}\{\sum_{j_1=1}^{m}(\hat{\pi}^1 B_{j_1,v^{(0)},t_1} f \circ u) \otimes (\bar{\Phi}^2 \circ p_{j_1} u)\}](x^{(n)})$

and $f \circ u \in C^0((\mathbf{K}^s)^{(n)}, Y)$ (see notation of Lemma 9), where $B_{j,v,t} := (\hat{S}_{j+1,vt} \otimes t\bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_j)^* \bar{\Phi}^1_j$, where $\bar{\Phi}^1$ is taken for variables (x, v, t) or corresponding to them after actions of preceding operations as $\bar{\Phi}^k$, $\bar{\Phi}^1_j f(x, v, t) := [f(x + e_j v_j t) - f(x)]/t$, $(B \otimes A)^* \bar{\Phi}^1 f_i \circ u^i(x, v, t) := \bar{\Phi}^1_j f_i(Bu^i, v, Au^i)$, $B : \mathbf{K}^{m(i)} \to \mathbf{K}^{m(i)}$, $A : \mathbf{K}^{m(i)} \to \mathbf{K}$, $m(i) = m + i - 1$, $j_i = 1, ..., m(i)$, $u^1 = u$, $u^2 := (u^1, t_1 \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_1} u^1)$, $u^n := (u^{n-1}, t_{n-1} \bar{\Phi}^1 \circ p_{j_{n-1}} u^{n-1})$, $\hat{S}_* \bar{\Phi}^1 f(x) := \bar{\Phi}^1 f(\hat{S}_* x)$.

Proof. The restriction of operators of Lemma 6 on $W^{(n)}$ from Note 2 gives Formula (1) of this corollary, where $v^{(k)} \in (\mathbf{K}^s)^k \times \mathbf{K}^k$.

References

[1] Y. Amice. "Interpolation p-Adique". Bull. Soc. Math. France **92**(1964), 117-180.

[2] Aref'eva I.Ya., Dragovich B., Frampton P.H., Volovich I.V. "Wave functions of the universe and p-adic gravity". Int. J. Modern Phys. **6** (1991), 4341-4358.

[3] J. Araujo, W.H. Schikhof. "The Weierstrass-Stone approximation theorem for p-adic C^n-functions". Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal. **1** (1994), 61-74.

[4] Yu.A. Bachturin. "Basic structures of modern algebra" (Moscow: Nauka, 1990).

[5] A. Banyaga. "The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups". Mathem. and its Applic. **400** (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1997

[6] N. Bourbaki. "Variétés différentielles et analytiques". Fasc. XXXIII (Paris: Hermann, 1967).

[7] P.-J. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert. "On the ultrametric Stone-Weierstrass theorem on Mahler's expansion". J. de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **14** (2002), 43-57.

[8] S. De Smedt. "Local invertibility of non-archimedean vector-valued functions". Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **5** (1998), 13-23.

[9] B. Diarra. "Ultraproduits ultrametriques de corps values". Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont II, Sér. Math. **22** (1984), 1-37.

[10] B. Diarra. "On reducibility of ultrametric almost periodic linear representations". Glasgow Math. J. **37** (1995), 83-98.

[11] R. Engelking. "General topology". 2-nd ed., Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, V. 6 (Berlin: Heldermann Verlag, 1989).

[12] J.M.G. Fell, R.S. Doran. "Representations of $*$-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach $*$-algebraic bundles". V. 1 and V. 2 (Boston: Acad. Press, 1988).

[13] S. Haller, J. Teichmann. "Smooth perfectness through decomposition of diffeomorphisms into fiber preserving ones". Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry **23** (2003), 53-63.

[14] K. Hensel. Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Ver. **6: 1** (1899), 83-88.

[15] I.N. Herstein. "Topics in algebra" (New-York: Blaisdell Publ. Co., 1964).

[16] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross. "Abstract harmonic analysis" (Berlin: Springer, 1979).

[17] Y. Jang. "Non-Archimedean quantum mechanics". Tohoku Math. Publ. **10** (1998).

[18] I. Kaplansky. "The Weiertsrass theorem in fields with valuations". Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1950), 356-357.

[19] M.I. Kargapolov, Yu.I. Merzljakov. "Principles of group theory" (Moscow: Nauka, 1977).

[20] W. Ling. "Factorizable groups of homeomorphisms". Compositio Mathem. **51** (1984), 41-50.

[21] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Irreducible unitary representations of non-Archimedean groups of diffeomorphisms". Southeast Asian Bull. of Mathem. **22: 3** (1998), 419-436.

[22] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Measures on groups of diffeomorphisms of non-Archimedean manifolds, representations of groups and their applications". Theoret. i Math. Phys. **119: 3** (1999), 381-396.

[23] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invaraint measures on non-Archimedean groups and semigroups of loops and paths, their representations. I, II". Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **7: 2** (2000), 19-53, 55-80.

[24] S.V. Ludkovsky. "A structure and representations of diffeomorphism groups of non-archimedean manifolds". Southeast Asian Bull. of Mathem. **26** (2003), 975-1004 (previous variant: Los Alamos Nat. Lab. **math.GR/0004126**, 32 pages, 19 April 2000).

[25] S.V. Ludkovsky, B. Diarra. "Profinite and finite groups associated with loop and diffeomorphism groups of non-archimedean manifolds". Int. J. of Math. and Mathematical Sciences **2003: 42** (2003), 2673-2688.

[26] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures on non-archimedean Banach spaces with values in non-archimedean fields". J. Math. Sci. **122: 1** (2004), 2949-2983 (previous variant: Los Alamos Nat. Lab. preprint **math.GM/0106170**).

[27] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-archimedean polyhedral expansions of ultrauniform spaces". Russ. Math. Surveys. V. **54: 5** (1999), 163-164. (in detail: Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA. Preprint **math.AT/0005205**, 39 pages, 22 May 2000).

[28] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-archimedean polyhedral decompositions of ultrauniform spaces". Fund. Prikl. Mathem. **6: 2** (2000), 455-475.

[29] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Embeddings of non-archimedean Banach manifolds into non-archimedean Banach spaces". Russ. Math. Surv. **53** (1998), 1097-1098.

[30] P.W. Michor. "Manifolds of differentiable mappings" (Orpington: Shiva Publishing Limited, 1980).

[31] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces" (New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1985).

[32] A. Ostrowski. "Untersuchungen zur arithmetischen Theorie der Körper". Math. Zeit. **39** (1935), 269-404.

[33] "p-Adic Mathematical Physics." 2nd International Conference (Belgrad, 2005). Editors: A.Yu. Khrennikov, Z. Rakić, I.V. Volovich. AIP Conference Proceedings **826**, New York, 2006.

[34] L.S. Pontrjagin. "Continuous groups" (Moscow: Nauka, 1984).

[35] J. Riordan. "Combinatorial identities" (New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc., 1968).

[36] A. Robert. "Representations p-adiques irréductibles de sous-groupes ouverts de $SL_2(\mathbf{Z_p})$". C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **298: 11** (1984), 237-240.

[37] A.C.M. van Rooij. "Non-Archimedean functional analysis" (New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1978).

[38] A.C.M. van Rooij, W.H. Schikhof. "Groups representations in non-Archimedean Banach spaces". Bull. Soc. Math. France. Memoire. **39-40** (1974), 329-340.

[39] W.H. Schikhof. "Ultrametric calculus" (Cambridge: Cambr. Univ. Press, 1984).

[40] W.H. Schikhof. "Non-Archimedean calculus". Nijmegen: Math. Inst., Cath. Univ., Report **7812**, 130 pages, 1978.

[41] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov. "p-adic analysis and mathematical physics" (Moscow: Nauka, 1994).

[42] A. Weil. "Basic number theory" (Berlin: Springer, 1973).